Institute of Marine Research, Bergen University of Bergen, Department of Fisheries Biology

Reports on Surveys with the R/V Dr Fridtjof Nansen

AGLEN, Asquir, Falcob, Gjøsæter, Bjørn Myrseth

Surveys of Mesopelagic fish

the Gulf of Aden

9110

Jan

UL - AUG 979

- Feb 1981

Resources in the Gulf of Oman

man Tilset

# «Dr. Fridtjof Nansen»

The fishery research vessel «Dr. Fridtjof Nansen» belongs to the Norwegian Agency for International Development (NORAD). It was designed and built for scientific and exploratory investigations of fishery resources of developing countries, under a joint plan with the Fisheries Department of FAO based on a funding of operation to be shared by FAO and Norway.

9.79 5.76

elw. 2 **Fish**eridizeh**toraket** Biblioteket

Reports on Surveys with the R/V "Dr. Fridtjof Nansen"

SURVEYS OF MESOPELAGIC FISH RESOURCES IN THE GULF OF OMAN AND THE GULF OF ADEN JUL-AUG 1979 AND JAN-FEB 1981

Asgeir Aglen<sup>X</sup>, Jakob Gjøsæter<sup>XX</sup>, Bjørn Myrseth<sup>XX</sup> and Snorre Tilseth<sup>X</sup>

<sup>x</sup>Institute of Marine Research, Bergen <sup>xx</sup>University of Bergen, Department of Fisheries Biology

February 1982

## CONTENTS

Introduction	• •	•	. <b>L</b>
Material and methods	•	• •	l
Behaviour and species composition	•	• •	7
Gulf of Oman	•	• • •	7
Gulf of Aden	• •	• •	13
Reaction to light	• : . •	• •	16
Acoustical abundance estimation	• • •	• •	18
Gulf of Oman $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$	•	•	18
Detail surveys 1981	•	• •	20
Gulf of Aden	•	• * •	22
Catch rates	•	• •	24
Catch rates in relation to density estimates			
obtained by echointegration	•	••	26
Comparison with previous cruises	•	• 1	29
Biological observations	•	• •	32
Trawl experiments	•-	• •	32
Distribution of eggs and larvae	•	• •	39
Hydrographical observations	•	•	43
Gulf of Oman $\ldots$	•	• •	43
Gulf of Aden	•	• •	47
Technological work with Benthosema pterotum	•	• •	51
References	•	••• • • ••	53
ANNEX 1. Scientific and technical staff	•	• •	55
ANNEX 2. Details of the acoustic equipment and		· •	
setting used	•	• •	56
ANNEX 3. Record of trawling operations	•	• •	61

### INTRODUCTION

During the surveys of the pelagic fish resources of the Northwestern Arabian Sea carried out with R/V "Dr. Fridtjof Nansen" during 1975-1977, mesopelagic fish were observed in abundance over wide areas. Usually the densest concentrations were found in the Gulf of Oman, in the Gulf of Aden and off Pakistan, and some trawl stations in these areas gave very high catch rates. The data from these cruises and from other available sources have been analysed by Gjøsæter (1978, 1981a), and by Gjøsæter and Kawaguchi (1980). These studies conclude that the mesopelagic stocks in the Arabean Sea is the largest known so far. An analysis carried out by Wijkstrøm (1978) concluded that a commercial fishery for mesopelagic species might be possible in these areas.

Therefore, it was decided to undertake more research in these areas, and further cruises were conducted with the R/V "Dr. Fridtjof Nansen" in the Gulf of Oman and the Gulf of Aden in July-August 1979 and in January-February 1981.

The purpose of these cruises was to make new estimates of the abundance of the mesopelagic fishes, to collect data for further studies on the ecology and life history of the species conserned, and to do experimental fishing.

Preliminary results of these cruises were reported by Gjøsæter and Myrseth (1979) and by Aglen, Gjøsæter and Tilseth (1981). Some of the data collected were also analysed by Gjøsæter (1981b).

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

In 1979 R/V "Dr. Fridtjof Nansen" worked in the Gulf of Oman from 4 July to 3 August and in the Gulf of Aden between 8 and 29 August. In 1981 she worked in the Gulf of Oman 24 January to 13 February and in the Gulf of Aden from 17 February to 26 February. The vessel is a 150 foot stern trawler with a main engine of 1500 Hp. A description of the vessel is given by Anon. (1978). The participating scientific and technical staff is listed in Annex 1.

The acoustical equipment consisted of three scientific sounders (120, 50 and 38 kHz) and two echo integrators, each with two channels. The vessel is also equipped with a sonar (24 kHz) and a net sonde (50 kHz). In addition to the hull mounted transducers, there is one towed body with two transducers.

The 38 kHz sounder used for integration, is coupled to a ceramic transducer and a 2 KW external transmitter was applied. A 120 kHz echo sounder was used to get better resolution in the upper 100 m.

Details about the acoustical equipment and the settings used are given in Annex 2.

During daytime the echo recordings were divided into three groups:

Plankton	(Usually upper 100 m)
Upper mesopelagic (DI)	(Usually 100-200, usually absent in Gulf of Aden)
Deep mesopelagic (D II)	(Usually below 200 m)

During night usually two groups could be recognized:

Uppeı	mixed layer	(NI,	plankton)	(Upper	100	m)
Deep	mesopelagic	(N II)		(Below	200	m)

To estimate the amount of mesopelagic fish in the upper mixed layer, the recordings of plankton during daytime in the same or in a near by area were subtracted.

The integrated echo was converted to estimates of fish biomass using the formula:

 $B = \int MCdA = \overline{M}CA$ 

where B is biomass of the scattering organisms, M is integrated echo intensity (mm/n.miles). A is the corresponding area. The conversion factor depends on the size of the fish.

$$C = C'L$$

where L is fish length and C' is a constant depending on the performance of the acoustical equipment and the fish species in question. As no reliable estimate of C' for myctophids are available, a C' estimated for other small pelagic fishes was used:

> C = 0.1 L for 1979 and C = 0.6 L for 1981

where L is fish length in cm. This corresponds to an average target strength of -10 log L -22 dB ref. 1 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, and should therefore be comparable to the conversion factor used on R/V "Dr. Fridtjof Nansen" during the surveys in 1975-76, and on R/V "Lemuru" with compensation for the difference in the perform-ance of the equipment.

Fishing experiments and sampling to identify the sound scatterers were carried out using a modified Krill trawl and a Harstad trawl. The effective trawl opening was estimated to cover about 250 m<sup>2</sup>. Records of fishing operations are given in Annex 3. Eggs and larvae were sampled with an 80 cm Juday net (180  $\mu$ m mesh size) in vertical hauls from 50-0 m. Broken hauls were also made from 300-200 m, 200-100 m and 100-0 m. Zooplankton were sampled by a 60 cm Bongo with one 180  $\mu$ m and one 90  $\mu$ m mesh sized nets. The Bongo samples were made in double oblique hauls from 0-50-0 meters.

Eggs of <u>Benthosema</u> pterotum were artificially fertilized in the laboratory on board the R/V "Dr. Fridtjof Nansen". The eggs were incubated at 18 and  $25^{\circ}C$ .

Underwater photographs were taken using a camera system consisting of an aluminium casing which housed a motor-driven 35-

- 3 -

mm camera, strobe light and an electronic shutter release taking pictures every 40 sec. When used in the upper 70 m an echo transducer could be mounted on the camera casing and the camera could be operated from the surface when fish was observed on the echosounder.

Standard hydrographic stations were worked out along transects during the surveys (Fig. 1-4).



Fig. 1. Cruise tracks in the Gulf of Oman, 4 July - 3 August 1979.

In each station Nansen bottles were used in standard depths down to the bottom or to 500 m depth. Temperature and salinity were observed at all standard depths; 0-10-20-30-50-75-100-125-150-300-250-300-400-500 m. Oxygen was observed at the same depths except 0 m. The salinity and oxygen samples were analysed on board.







Fig. 3 A







Fig. 4. Cruise track in the Gulf of Aden, 17 - 26 February 1981. l. Pelagic trawl, 2. Plankton station, 3. Hydrographical station.

### BEHAVIOUR AND SPECIES COMPOSITION

GULF OF OMAN

Mesopelagic fish were found off the continental shelf where the water was deeper than about 200 m. During day time two sound scattering layers were usually observed in addition to a weak plankton layer in the surface. The upper layer (D I) was usually found at about 150 m depth and had a vertical extention of 20-40 m (Table 1, Fig. 5). It consisted of small schools and dense concentrations. Below this layer and usually centered about 250 m depth a second scattering layer (D II) appeared. This usually had a vertical extention of 70-100 m. Although it sometimes gave very high echo recordings, it had a more "smoky" appearance on the echograms than D I. About one hour before sunset the upper layer started to migrate slowly upwards. About half an hour before sunset it usually reached about 100 m. At

.979.
in
layers
fish
mesopelagic
of
distribution
Vertical
-
Table

Ranges are given in parenthesis.

			Da	۲ı			Night	
ş	Ē	Ω	H	Q	T	T N	N	TT
Area	ЭШГ.Т.	Depth	Vertical extention	Depth	Vertical extention	Vertical extention	Depth	Vertical extention
Gulf of Oman	July-79	181	43	265	86	72	219	65
		(150-215)	(30-60)	(225-295)	(50 - 105)	(06-09)	(185-245)	(35-100)
Gulf of Aden	Aug79		I	363	161	66	354	156
				(275-400)	(100-220)	(20-90)	(260-400)	(100-250)



Fig. 5. Shallow day layer of mesopelagic fish (D I) in the Gulf of Oman.

this time both this layer and parts of the lower layer moved rapidly towards the surface where they mixed with the plankton layer. Parts of the deep day layer stayed in deeper waters also during night time (Fig. 6). Sometimes fish seem to migrate from the D I to the D II layer during daytime (Fig. 7).



Fig. 6. Vertical migration of scattering layers in the Gulf of Oman. The distance between the vertical lines is one nautical mile.



Fig. 7. Echogram showing fish migrating from a D I to a D II layer. The ship was laying still during the recording.

The shallow day layer (D I) consisted almost exclusively of <u>Benthosema pterotum</u> (Table 2). The salps observed in the trawl catches from this layer in 1981 may be contamination from the surface layer where they were very numerous. In the deep day layer (D II) <u>B</u>. <u>pterotum</u> was also the dominant form, although salps also made up a large proportion of the catches. In tows from the lower part of the D II layer shrimps were sometimes numerous, and in contrast to the salps, these were probably partly responsible for the echoes received from these layers.

During night time <u>B</u>. <u>pterotum</u> was second to salps in abundance in 1981, but among those animals which are supposed to give sound scattering, <u>B</u>. <u>pterotum</u> was dominant (Table 2). A towed transducer sounding upwards showed that parts of the night layer (N I) extended upwards beyond 10 m depth which is the upper limit for the echo integration. This experiment was carried out at new moon, and probably most of the fish will stay deeper during the other parts of the moon cycle. Composition (percentage of weight) of trawl catches in the sound scattering layers in the Gulf of Oman. Figures in the brackets give the percentage of the catch Table 2.

exclusive of salps, + present but less than 0.1% of weight.

1981	Day Night	D II N I N II D	<pre>1) 44.9(60.1) 46.8(90.4) 55.2(86.0)</pre>	2.9 0.6 1.4	0.8 + 0.2	1.1 1.7 0.1	1.1 0.3 1.7	0.2 0.1 +	0.1 + + +	0.7 0.3	0.2 + 0.1	0.5 + 1.0	21.0(28.1) 0.1 2.5	1.0 1.9 0.6	25.2 48.3 36.5	
		DI	96.0(100				+	+		+		+	+		3 <b>.</b> 9	
	ıt	N II	44.5	1.4	33.1		+	0.4	- -			1.9	8.5	1.3	7.6	
79	Nigl	N I	94.6	0.7	+	0.6	0.6	÷				0.4	+	0.9	2.0	
19	Y	D II	73.2		6.0	0.4	0.7	3.7				0.2	8.5	1 <b>.</b> 8	4.0	
	Da	D I	99.2	0.1			÷	0.3				0.1		0.3		
			Myctophidae	Champsodon	Harpadontidae	Cubiseps	Lestidium	Trichiurus	Vinciguerria	Epinnula	Synagrops	Other fishes	Shrimps/Krill	Squid	Salps/Jellyfish	

- 11 -

In catches from the deep night layer (N II) <u>B. pterotum</u> made up 55% of the weight, or 86% if the salps were excluded in 1981 and about 45% in 1979. <u>Harpadon</u> contributed 33% in 1979, but only 0.2% in 1981.

Tows taken between the depths of the scattering layers or at the surface during day time in 1981 gave large numbers of salps, but no fishes. Tows with Juday net showed that small krill and other plankton organisms, too small to be caught in the trawl, could be numerous. It seems, however, safe to conclude that fish, and mainly <u>B</u>. <u>pterotum</u> were responsible for most of the sound scattering. This view is supported by the pictures taken in these layers during the cruise in 1981, showing mainly fish and salps (Fig. 8-9).



Fig. 8. Photo showing myctophids in a D I layer.



Fig. 9. Photo showing myctophids in a D II layer.

GULF OF ADEN

During day time a surface plankton layer and one deep scattering layer were found in most of the area. The DSL corresponding to the D II in the Gulf of Oman was usually found at depth of about 350-400 m in 1979 and 300-350 m in 1981. It had a vertical extension varying between 100 and 200 m (Table 1). In the western part of the Gulf, scattered schools corresponding the the D I layer of the Gulf of Oman were observed in 1981, but not in 1979.

At dusk, parts of the mesopelagic layers migrated towards the surface and mixed with the plankton.

The surface layer (N I) extended from the surface down to about 100 m, with the higest concentrations between about 30 and 70 m. Parts of the fish remained in deep water (N II) (Table 1).

The shallow day layer (D I) observed in 1981 consisted almost exclusively of <u>Benthosema</u> pterotum (Table 3). In the deepest

Composition (percentage of weight) of trawl catches in the sound scattering layers in the Gulf of Aden. +, present, but less than 0.1% of weight. Table 3.

		197	6			6 T	81	
		ау	Nigh	Ļ	Дау		Nigh	lt
	D	D II	T N	II N	DI	D II	I N	N II
Myctophidae		34.6	56.1	33.2	91.5	64.5	45.2	20.4
Gonostomatidae		3 <b>°</b> 0		1.6		1.2	0.4	10.2
Sternoptychidae		6.3		2.8	0.5	2.1	+	10.2
Cubiceps		0.8	10.8				2,3	
Lestidium		0.6	4.1	0.3		1.2	2.7	
Chauliodus		21.2		5.5		9.1	nfa	
Trichiuridae							0.4	6.8
Other fish		6.0	10.2	4.6		2.1	0.7	2.3
Shrimps/krill	•,	4.5	4.2	11.3	2.8	5.8	8.7	2.0
Squids		1.5	2.2	0.4	2.1	1.8	4.7	1.4
Salps/Jellyfish		19.7	12.4	40.2	3.1	9.1	16.7	40.8
Swimming crabs			-		•	3.0	17.9	+
No. of hauls		15	11	с М	4	8	10	1

Table 4. Preliminary list of Myctophidae caught in the Gulf of Aden, February 1981.

	August	1979	February	1981
Electrona rissoi	x		x	
Hygophum proximum	x		X	
Benthosema pterotum	x	an An an	x	
B. fibulatum	x		x	
Myctophum spinosum	x		X	
M. nitidulum	x		x	
Symbolophorus evermanni			x	
Diaphus garmani	x		х	
D. regani	x		x	
D. coeruleus	x		x	
D. sp. nov.	x	· .	x	• *
D. diademophilus	x		x	
D. lucidus	x		X	
D. megalops	x		x	
D. parri	?		x	
D. lobatus	x		x	
D. problematicus	x			
Lampadena sp.			x	
Lampadena luminosa	x			
Lampanyctus spp.			x	
L. macropterus	x			
L. indet.	x			
L. tenuiformis	x			
L. nobilis	x			
Lepidophanes sp.			x	
L. longipes	x			
Ceratoscopelus warmingi	x		x	
Bolinichtys blacki	x			
Diogenichtys panurgus	x			

- 15 - 👾 👾

layer (D II) Myctophidae were dominant followed by <u>Chauliodus</u> sp. In the shallow night layer (N I) Myctophidae were still the dominant fish. In 1979 <u>Cubiceps</u> sp and other fishes were also important while swimming crabs and salps made up a large part of the total catch in 1981.

The deep night layer (N II) was sampled only a few times. Both years jellyfish made up a large part of the catches. Among the fish, Myctophidae were dominant. In 1979 Gonostomatidae and Sternoptychidae made up 10% each.

A preliminary list of the Myctophidae identified so far is given in Table 4. A complete list must await a more thorough analysis of some samples.

Quantitatively <u>Benthosema</u> pterotum was the dominant species in the western part of the Gulf (W of  $47^{\circ}E$ ) while various <u>Diaphus</u> species dominated in the eastern part. In 1979 <u>Lampanyctus</u> spp. were also rather important.

### REACTION TO LIGHT

The reaction of mesopelagic fishes to light was studied twice in the Gulf Of Oman. A 1000 W high pressure sodium lamp was used as a light source.

The first experiment was carried out at new moon. The light was mounted at the side of the vessel and the echo sounder was used to monitor the reaction of the fish. Underwater photography was used to identify the organisms aggregating. The light made the scattering layer sink and concentrate at a depth of about 50 m (Fig. 10). Photographs show that myctophids were the dominant organisms in this layer (Fig. 11).

In the second experiment the light was mounted on a small boat. This experiment was carried out about 10 days after new moon. This time the fish concentrated in a cone around the light (Fig. 12), but the concentrations were not as dense as those observed during the first experiment.



Fig. 10. Echogram from a light station, showing the dispersion of fish when the light is turned off. The ship was laying still during the recording.



Fig. 11. Photo taken in 50 m depth at the light station shown in Fig. 10.



Fig. 12. Echogram from a light station showing slightly increased concentration at some distance from the light source.

During both experiments most of the myctophids present were adult <u>B. pterotum</u> with length between 35 and 43 mm. Most of them had mature gonads.

### ACOUSTICAL ABUNDANCE ESTIMATION

GULF OF OMAN

During 1979 the southern part of the Gulf of Oman was covered during the period 4 July - 3 August. The northern part was not surveyed (Fig. 1).

The echo abundance was converted to biomass using the equations

$$B = C' L \overline{M} A$$

where B is biomass, C' convertion factor, L average fish length,  $\overline{M}$  average integrator reading and A is the corresponding area (see page 3). A mean fish length of L=35 mm was used for the transformation (see Fig. 16). In the part of the Gulf covered, a biomass of about 6 million tonnes was found. If the densities recorded are representative for the whole Gulf, the total biomass of mesopelagic fish was about 8 million tonnes.

The density of fish per  $m^2$  surface area was calculated for one degree squares. It ranged from 20 to 165 g/m<sup>2</sup> with a mean of 87 g/m<sup>2</sup>.

In 1981 the abundance of mesopelagic fish in the Gulf of Oman was studied during three surveys (Fig. 3):

I 24 - 28 January II 28 - 31 January III 9 - 14 February

The period 1 - 9 February was used for a detailed survey with fishing experiments in the area south of  $24^{\circ}50$ 'N and west of  $57^{\circ}40$ 'E.

In 1981 the mean length of the fish was 39 mm (see Fig. 17) and the variation between areas and between the different layers were too small to warrant the use of different lengths, in the conversion factor.

During night time parts of the fish seemed to be found in the upper 10 m and were therefore lost. During day time parts of the organisms responsible for the echo obtained from the lower layer were not fish. No compensation is made for these biases in the following estimates. It is also supposed that those parts of the Gulf covered (about 2/3) are representative for the whole area. Based on these assumptions the following abundance of mesopelagic fish was estimated:

Survey	I,	8 mill.	tonnes
	II	11 "	n e
	III	13 "	н

The variances are rather high (Table 5) and the differences between the estimates may not be significant. The mean of the three estimates,

### 11 million tonnes

therefore seems to be the best estimate of the biomass of mesopelagic fish in the Gulf of Oman in January - February 1981.

Table 5. Echo abundance (mm integ. deflection) from the three main surveys in the Gulf of Oman.

			DAY				NI	GHT		
Survey	No. five miles	D mean	I SD	D I mean	I SD	No. five miles	N I mean	SD	N I mean	I SD
I										
W58 <sup>0</sup> E	25	50	52	183	240	32	117	47	88	173
E58 <sup>0</sup> E	14	57	186	30	63	29	79	59	1.4	2.2
II										
W58 <sup>0</sup> E	27	23	26	360	407	38	175	7.9	82	127
E58 <sup>O</sup> E						19	94	45	31	57
III										
W58 <sup>0</sup> E	41	63	74	286	181	28	175	79	73	88
Е58 <sup>0</sup> Е	34	55	89	152	250	36	122	64	10	18

### DETAILED SURVEYS IN 1981

An area in the Gulf of Oman south of 24<sup>0</sup>50'N and west of 57<sup>0</sup>40'E was covered six times during the period 1-9 February. The coverage differed, so the six surveys are not directly comparable. Several trends are, however, evident (Table 6). The upper day layer usually had the lowest echo abundance and high variance. The lower day layer always showed the highest echo abundance and usually high variance. The two night layers had similar abundance. The upper layer had low variance, the lower

higher. In general these trends can also be seen in the data from the main surveys.

	· · · ·		DAY		· .		N	IGHT		
Date	NO. five miles	D mean	I SD	D mean	II SD	NO. five miles	N mean	I	N mean	II SD
1_0	10	1 2 0	104	024	<u> </u>		 ۱ <i>۸۳</i>	E7	102	254
1-2	10	T 2 0	104	924	091	1	14/	5/	TOR	254
2-3	12	146	T33	533	210	8	187	59	156	116
3-4	6	86	61	523	348	9	268	116	252	169
4-5	9	70	36	1039	678	10	302	53	303	115
5-6	13	32	43	348	315	19	232	74	226	137
6-9	9	120	118	569	327	17	221	80	161	141
Mean	62	100	100	654	518	70	230	86	204	158

Table 6. Echo abundance from the detail surveys 1-9 February 1981.

Totally the day recordings were higher than the night recordings. No significant increase or decrease in abundance could be traced during this period.

Converted to biomass of mesopelagic fish in the area (2120  $n.miles^2$ ) the following results are obtained:

Detail survey 1	3.7 million tonnes
2	2.7 "
3	2.3 <sup>II</sup> H
4	4.2 "
5	2.1 "
6	2.4 " "
Mean	2.9 million tonnes
Standard deviation	0.8 million tonnes

- 21 -

### GULF OF ADEN

The Gulf of Aden was surveyed during the period 8-29 August 1979 (Fig. 2). The integrated echo abundance was converted to biomass as described above (page 18).

In the western part of the Gulf of Aden, <u>B. pterotum</u> with mean length about 4 cm was an important component of the scattering layers, and this length is used for the calculation. In the eastern part of the Gulf there was much variation in species and size composition, and fishes with no swim bladder (eg. <u>Chauliodus</u>) had an important position in the fauna. It is therefore difficult to estimate an equivalent mean size. Four centimeters was used, however, although it probably gives an underestimate of the true biomass.

The total biomass of mesopelagic fish was estimated to 2.6 x  $10^{6}$  tonnes in the Gulf of Aden west of  $47^{\circ}E$  and 1.6 x  $10^{6}$  tonnes between  $47^{\circ}$  and  $51^{\circ}E$ . According to the acoustical surveys the total biomass was therefore about 4 million tonnes.

The density of fish per  $m^2$  surface area was calculated for one degree squares. It varied from 50 g/m<sup>2</sup> and 7 g/m<sup>2</sup> within the Gulf of Aden. The mean density was 17 g/m<sup>2</sup>.

Five one degree squares in the inner part of the Gulf of Aden were covered three times during the survey with the following results:

Period		Fish	density
8 - 19	August	23	g/m <sup>2</sup>
20 - 25	18	41	t#
26 - 29	19	42	Ħ

As a total of 14 one degree squares were covered more than once and the estimated biomass increased in 13 of these, the increase seems to be significant. The performance of the equipment was followed carefully throughout the survey, and no change was recorded. During the last part of the cruise a different setting of the equipment was used (see Annex 2). On very scattered recordings in deep water this can account for a 30% increase in estimated abundance due to a lower threshold effect. For dense recordings, however, there is little difference between the settings. This change can therefore only partly explain the increase in estimated abundance.

A few squares in the central and eastern part of the Gulf were also covered more than once. These too, indicated a rise in biomass, although less pronounced. Therefore, migration cannot account for the increase. Individual growth can explain at least part of the increase. Recruitment of juveniles, which according to plankton samples were abundant during the first part of the cruise, may also be part of the explanation.

In 1981 the abundance was estimated during one survey conducted 17 to 26 February (Fig. 4).

The integrated echo abundance (Table 7) was converted to biomass using the same conversion factors as in the Gulf of Oman. The mean length of the targets was supposed to be 33 mm.

ana an	<u></u>	West of 47 <sup>°</sup> E East of 47 <sup>°</sup> E					
		No. of five miles	mean	SD	No. of five miles	mean	SD
Day D	I	30	1.3	3.1	94	11.3	15.6
D	II	30	91	32	94	136	132
Night	N I (exc plankto	1. n) 48	50	44	90	110	65
	N II	48	44	20	90	45	34

Table 7. Mean echo abundance (mm integ.deflection) from the scattering layers in the Gulf of Aden 1981.

The following estimates were obtained:

Area west of 47 <sup>0</sup> E	- 9	million	tonnes
Area east of 47 <sup>0</sup> E	8	million	tonnes
Total Gulf of Aden	16	million	tonnes

These estimates include all sound scatterers excluding the plankton in the N I layer. No compensation is made for the fact that a considerable part of the fishes caught in the deep layers have no swim bladder, and therefore lower target strength.

### CATCH RATES

In the Gulf of Oman 52 trawl stations were taken in the mesopelagic fish layers in 1979 and 74 in 1981. (See Annex 3 for details.) In 1979 the mean catch rate was 200 kg/h, 6% of the stations gave catch rates higher than 500 kg/h, and 2% higher than 1000 kg/h (Table 9).

In 1981 the mean catch rate was about 1000 kg/h and 44% and 36% of the stations gave catch rates higher than 500 kg/h and 1000 kg/h respectively. Seven percent gave more than 6000 kg/h (Table 9).

Most of the high catch rates were obtained in the shallow day layer (D I), but also nearly 20% of the hauls in the surface layer (N I) during night gave catch rates higher than 1000 kg/h.

In the Gulf of Aden 28 and 23 trawl stations were taken in the mesopelagic fish layers in 1979 and 1981 respectively. The mean catch rates were very low (40 and 60 kg/h) and no hauls gave catch rates higher than 500 kg/h (Table 9).

TCUT		Тур	pe				Catch	rate in	n kg/h		
Area		of lay	yer	<50	50	500	1000	2000	4000	6000	<u>&gt;8000</u>
					499	999	1999	3999	5999	7999	2000, yr 11 (1997) 2000, yw 11 (1997)
1979											
Gulf	of Oman	D	I		15	1	1				
		D	II	5	6						•
		N	I	2	19	1					
		N	II	1	2						
								: :			
Gulf	of Aden	D	I	1							
		D	II	15	1						•
		N	I	6	3						
		N	II	1	1						
				•			an a				
1981											
Gulf	of Oman	D	I.		4	2	8	8	3	4	1
		D	II	2	12						
		N	I	1	16	3	1	2			
		N	II		7	1					
Gulf d	of Aden	D	I	2	2						
		D	II	6	3						
		N	I	4	6						
		N	II		-			an di ang ang bi Ang ang binang binang Ang ang ang binang bi			
		-									

Table 9. Distribution of catch rates during the cruises in 1979 and 1981.

CATCH RATES IN RELATION TO DENSITY ESTIMATES OBTAINED BY ECHO-INTEGRATION

During trawling echo integration was run in a depth interval corresponding to the vertical opening of the trawl. From these values the average fish density  $(g/m^3)$  at the trawl opening was estimated. These estimates are plotted against the obtained catch pr m<sup>3</sup> filtered sea water in Fig. 13. Table 10 shows the average "trawl efficiency" (the ratio between catch/m<sup>3</sup> and density) for each layer. The average "trawl efficiency" seems to be considerably higher for the D I layer than for any of the other layers. This difference is significant, while the difference between D II, N I and N II are not significant.





Fig. 13. Catch rate (grams per m<sup>3</sup> filtered seawater) in relation to fish density (g/m<sup>3</sup>) estimated acoustically in various layers. A: Gulf of Aden and Gulf of Oman July-August 1979. B: Gulf of Oman January-February 1981.

Table 10. Average "trawl efficiency" [ratio between catch (gram) pr m<sup>3</sup> and density (g/m<sup>3</sup>)] for various layers during the two cruises.

	1			·
Kind of layer	DI	DII	NI	N II
Year	1979 1981	1979 1981	1979 1981	1979 1981
Average trawl efficiency	0.51 0.77	0.11 0.05	0.07 0.17	0.61 0.07
Standard deviation	0.38 0.51	0.08 0.10	0.05 0.20	0.61 0.06
No. of stations	6 23	16 11	18 17	4 7

The low "trawl efficiency" in the N I, N II and D II-layers may give reasons to suspect that the fish in these layers were mixed up with plankton giving high contribution to the integrator values. The N I-layer was in fact mixed up with some plankton which remained as a separate layer in the upper 100 m during day time. However, the echo-contribution from this plankton layer usually represented just one to ten percent of the total

- 27 -

integrator values during day time in the Gulf of Oman and up to 50% in the Gulf of Aden. Juday net hauls did not give much plankton in any of the layers.

In 1981 some underwater photos were taken in connection with trawl hauls and light experiments. Fish was recognized on most of the pictures in all layers, while plankton organisms were rather scarce. Salps and jellyfish were the only recognizable plankton on the pictures (Some faint traces could be shrimps). Table 11 shows the average number of fishes and salps per picture. About 30 pictures were taken at each station.

Table 11. Average number of fish and salps per picture taken in the scattering layers in the Gulf of Oman, January 1981.

Kind of	Nearest	Average r	number per picture	Domanica	
layer st. no.		fish salps + jellyfish			
DI	47	13	0.02		
DI	51	2	0.1	Camera not in the middle of the layer	
DII	52	7	0.4		
DII	57	9	0.1		
NI	48	66	0.3	Fish gathered in the light	
NI	48	3	1.0	Camera below the most dense layer	
NI	59	5	0		

A cage calibration of salps indicated that the average target strength is in the order of -50 dB per kg salps, which means that some hundred kilos of salps are needed to give the same echo as one kg of fish.

- 28 -

The conclusions is that the echo contribution from plankton was small compared to the contribution from fish in all layers. Therefore the plankton is not responsible for the observed differences in "trawl efficiency". There has to be a real difference in catchability.

The D I layer was composed of schools in a presumably lighted zone, while the other layers in darkness, had a rather homogeneous density. This difference in light conditions may cause a difference in the fish reaction towards the trawl. Probably the fish in the D I layer are able to see the trawl. The fish has not enough mobility to avoid the trawl opening, but they may be able to avoid coming in contact with the walls of the foremost part of the trawl. This means that they may be herded towards the centre of the trawl, thus being more easily caught. The fish in the other layers are probably not able to see the trawl and may therefore have a less directional reaction, so that much of the fish may be filtered through the meshes in the foremost part of the trawl. The average "trawl efficiency" for the D II, N I and N II layers together is 0.13, which seems to be reasonable for small, passive fishes, when the shape and mesh size of the trawl are taken into consideration (Fig. 13).

#### COMPARISONS WITH PREVIOUS CRUISES

In the Gulf of Oman the five acoustical surveys carried out by R/V "Dr. Fridtjof Nansen" during 1975-76 gave abundance estimates ranging between 8 and 20 million tonnes (mean 13 million tonnes). The survey in August 1979 gave 8 million tonnes and then in January 1981 11 million tonnes, provided that abundance off the coast of Iran, which was not covered, was similar to that on the Oman side (Fig. 14).

In the Gulf of Aden the previous cruises gave estimates ranging between 6 and 40 million tonnes (mean 20 million tonnes), while the cruises in 1979 and 1981 lead to an estimate of 4 million and 16 million tonnes respectively (Fig. 15). Although the total biomass usually has been larger in the Gulf of Aden than



Fig. 14. Estimated abundance of mesopelagic fish in the Gulf of Oman 1975 - 1981.



Fig. 15. Estimated abundance of mesopelagic fish in the Gulf of Aden 1975 - 1981.

- 30 -

in the Gulf of Oman, the biomass per unit surface area has usually been highest in the Gulf of Oman.

It is more difficult to compare the trawl catches because during the cruises conducted in 1975-76 trawling was mainly conducted to identify the sound scatters, and not to obtain high catch rates. Trial fishing was, however, one of the objectives of the surveys in 1979 and 1981.

In spite of this difference in approach we had lower mean catch rates from the mesopelagic fish layer in the Gulf of Aden in these years than from most of the previous cruises (Table 12). In the Gulf of Oman the catch rates were low in 1979 but higher in 1981.

Cruise		Gulf of Oman			Gulf of Ader	1 · · · ·
	Catch	Myctophidae	No of	Catch	Myctophidae	No of
	kg/h	Ş	hauls	kg/h	8	hauls
1-2	-			120	94	7
3	280	89	4	4900	96	2
4	2470	99	10	230	90	6
5	1060	88	9	315	95	1
6	50	89	7	45	50	5
1979	200	93	52	40	40	28
1981	1010	94	74	54	60	23

Table 12. Mean catch rates in kg/hour of trawling from the mesopelagic fish layers on cruises with R/V "Dr. Fridtjof Nansen".

In the Gulf of Aden there was also a difference in catch composition. With one exception (cruise 6) the family Myctophidae represented between 94 and 96% of the mean catches given in Table 2. In 1979 the corresponding proportion was about 40% and in 1981 60%. The percentage from 1979 is the lowest recorded. It seems therefore that the abundance of this family has decreased even more than the decrease in total echo abundance suggests. In the Gulf of Oman no such change was observed.

- 31 -

During the "Regional fishery survey and development Project" the echo abundance of mesopelagic fish in the Gulf of Oman was estimated by R/V "Lemuru", and the following results were obtained:

November	1977	4.6	million	tonnes
May	1978	2.9	million	tonnes
September	1978	1.7	million	tonnes

These results are very much lower than those obtained by R/V "Dr. Fridtjof Nansen" both in 1975-76 and in 1979 and 1981.

In parts of the area R/V "Lemuru" observed denser concentrations than those found with the R/V "Dr. Fridtjof Nansen", but also large areas with no recordings of mesopelagic fish was reported from the "Lemuru" survey.

This difference is probably caused by the much lower performance, and the higher threshold used on the acoustical equipment on R/V "Lemuru" compared with that on R/V "Dr. Fridtjof Nansen" (FAO, 1981).

### BIOLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS

Samples were taken to study size distribution, age, gonad maturation and feeding. These studies are in progress, and will not be treated here. Some size distributions are, however, given in Fig. 16-18.

#### TRAWL EXPERIMENTS

In 1979 the Krill trawl was used with different weights on the lower wings. Two hauls with 80 kg weights on the wings gave trawl openings of 10 and 13.5 m. When the weights were increased to 190 kg, the vertical opening varied between 12 and 18.5 m. Higher speed gave smaller vertical opening.

To compare the efficiency of the Krill trawl and the Harstad trawl, 10 parallel trawl hauls were carried out (Table 13). The effective opening of the trawls are estimated to be 250  $m^2$  and
400  $m^2$  for the Krill and Harstad trawl respectively, and the catches were corrected according to their openings.

If we look at catch per nautical mile, the Krill trawl caught in average 143 kg and the Harstad trawl 82 kg. This difference was analysed using a Wilcoxon paired-sample test, and found to be significant.

The Harstad trawl was towed with higher speed as it was difficult to keep the speed constant during the trials. Average

Tabell 13. Comparison of Krill trawl and Harstad trawl 1979.

(Original data, not corrected for trawl opening).

Tr.st. no.	Type of trawl	Depth	Layer	Speed knots	Trawl opening m	Catch pr. n. mile	Catch pr. hour
159	Krill	42	NI	2.3	14	47	105
160	Harstad	41	NI	2.7	15	33	80
162	Krill	176	DI	2.0	14	118	248
163	Harstad	183	DI	2.1	14	180	405
166	Harstad	38	NI	3.3	15	28	90
167	Krill	40	NI	2.2	14	44	105
172	Krill	35	NI	1.5	13.5	31	47
174	Harstad	35	NI	2.2	13	55	120
175	Krill	280	DII	. 2.0	15.5	26	49
176	Harstad	291	DII	2.3	13	13	30
179	Krill	48	NI	2.0	17	145	270
180	Harstad	36	NI	2.3	15	44	110
181	Harstad	33	NI	2.5	15	206	495
182	Krill	33	NI	2.2	14	236	495
190	Krill	204	DI	2.0	16	77	150
191	Harstad	187	DI	2.0	17	131	255
192	Krill	315	DII	2.2	17	38	90
193	Harstad	277	DII	2.8	17	15	41
194	Krill	20	NI	2.2	12	135	270
195	Harstad	20	NI	3.3	12	118	400
199	Harstad	30	NI	2.9	14	21	60
200	Krill	30	NI	3.0	14	27	80
					· ·	· ·	



- 34 -



Fig. 17. Size distribution of <u>B</u>. <u>pterotum</u> in the Gulf of Oman, January - February 1981.



Fig. 18. Size distribution of <u>B. pterotum</u> in the Gulf of Aden. February 1981.

catch per hour trawled for the Krill trawl and the Harstad trawl was 293 and 203 kg respectively. This difference was also analysed and found to be significant.

Possibly a larger part of the smallest fish are filtered through the meshes in the Harstad trawl than in the Krill trawl. Then fish caught in the Harstad trawl should be expected to be larger than those caught in the Krill trawl. Fig. 19 shows the length distribution for the fish caught in the Krill trawl and Harstad trawl in the 10 parallel trawl hauls. The figure does not give any reason to believe that there is any significant difference in size.

In the same way we have split these length distributions in fish, caught at day and at night with the two trawls, but none of the distributions indicate a difference in size of fish from the two trawls.

Experiments with fine meshed net bags attached to the outside of the panels of the Krill trawl was carried out to catch fishes filtered out through the meshes in the trawl. Three net bags were attached in positions shown on Fig. 20. The results of these experiments are given in Table 14. The catches in the net bags showed great variations and no correlation to the catches in the cod end of the trawl. It is difficult to draw any conclusions about the filtering effect of the trawl from these experiments.





sel.

Tr st.	Total	Number o	f fish in	each bag	Number o	f fish pe	r kg catch
no.	catch	Forward	Central	Backward	Forward	Central	Backward
159	70	8	2	0	0.11	0.03	
161	120	14	4	0	0.12	0.03	0
162	165	0	5	0	0	0.03	0
164	250	0	3	3	0	0.01	0.01
165	40	0	2	1	0	0.05	0.03
167	70	10	1	17	0.14	0.01	0.24
168	230	18	0	11	0.08	0	0.05
169	195	50	1	51	0.26	0.01	0.26
170	90	19	4	2	0.21	0.04	0.02
172	27.5	0	0	0	0	0	0
175	33	2	25	1	0.06	0.76	0.03
178	90	4	3	3	0.04	0.03	0.03
179	180	115	1	7	0.64	0.01	0.04
182	330	54	4	36	0.16	0.01	0.11
183	900	1	3	3	0.001	0.003	0.003
187	20	1	0	0	0.05	0	0
190	100	4	1	0	0.04	0.01	0
194	135	2	0		0.02	0	0
195	200	7	77	2500	0.04	0.39	12.50
196	45	0	55	0	0	1.22	0
201	40	0	0	0	0	0	0
203	40	0	0	0	0	0	0
206	60	6	0	4	0.10	0 <sup>0</sup> 1	0.67
224	10	13		0	1.30	0	0
225	17	0	0	5	0	ана силана <b>О</b> каралар	0.29
226	20	0	<b>0</b>	0	0 0 0 0	0	0
233	15	4	0	0	0.27	0	0
234	20	0		0	<b>0</b>	0 · · · · · ·	0
235	25	5	0 0	0	0.20	0	0 ····
236	15	0	0.	0	0	0	0
240	14	4	2	0	0.28	0.14	0
242	25	19	0	2	0.76	0	0.08

1

Table 14. Catches in bags on the Krill trawl 1979.

- 38 -



Fig. 20. Net bags used on the trawls. The figures indicate the mesh size in the trawl.

DISTRIBUTION OF EGGS AND LARVAE OF <u>BENTHOSEMA</u> <u>PTEROTUM</u> IN THE GULF OF OMAN

One of the objectives of this cruise to the Gulf of Oman was to find and identify eggs of <u>Benthosema</u> pterotum and to study the spawning biology of this species. These important biological aspects have not been described previously.

The distribution of myctophid larvae in the Gulf of Oman in January/February 1981 is presented in Figs. 21 A and B. Larvae of <u>Benthosema pterotum</u> predominated in these samples and completely outnumbered larvae of all other fish species.

<u>B. pterotum</u> larvae were found in 69, of 77 Juday net hauls (50-0 m) during the first survey (24 January - 1 February). The highest number of larvae found in one haul were 564. Three different areas with more than 200 larvae/m<sup>2</sup> were found (Fig. 21 A). One in the western part of the Gulf, close to the shelf region and northwards, one in the middle of the Gulf extending towards the Iran side of the Gulf and one area in the outer part of the Gulf of Oman in the slope region just east of  $58^{\circ}E$ .



Fig. 21. Distribution of larvae of <u>B</u>. <u>pterotum</u> in the Gulf of Oman. Number of larval per  $m^2$  surface area. A: 24 January - 1 February. B: 9 - 13 February.

- 40 -

During the last survey (9-13 February) a reduced amount of <u>B</u>. <u>pterotum</u> larvae were found (47 of 51 Juday net hauls) compared to the first survey. Only one area with more than 200 larvae/m<sup>2</sup> were found (Fig. 21 B). The relative reduction of larval quantity was estimated by integration of the two distribution maps. During the second survey the quantity of larva was reduced by 63% compared to the quantity found during the first cruise.

This shows that the total concentration of larvae varies and changes quickly during a short period of time. This is most probably due to heavy predation on larvae, but could also be because the <u>B. pterotum</u> population in the area may spawn in cohorts.

The average number observed was 43 larvae/m<sup>2</sup> (109 samples). This density was equivalent to Fursa's (1973) observations in the Gulf in January-April in 1969. Nellen (1973), however, found the average of 335 larvae/m<sup>2</sup> in March-April 1965. Nellen (1973) also points out that <u>B</u>. <u>pterotum</u> larvae were the only myctophid species in the samples. In no other area such high concentrations of one single species of Myctophidae larvae have been observed. According to Fursa (1969, 1973) and Nellen (1973) the spawning period of <u>B</u>. <u>pterotum</u> in the Gulf of Oman clearly varies with the season, however most larvae seems to be found during the winter monsoon in January to April.

The eggs and newly hatched larvae of <u>B</u>. <u>pterotum</u> are not previously described. We were, however, successful in fertilizing and incubating <u>B</u>. <u>pterotum</u> eggs on board the R/V "Dr. Fridtjof Nansen", and thereby able to identify eggs and the early larval stages. Ripe fish were found in trawl samples from 200-300 meters depth. Eggs were dissected from the ovary and fertilized in sea water  $(20^{\circ}C \ 36.6^{\circ}/00)$ . Ripe eggs from the ovary had an opaque chorion, segmented yolk with one oil droplet. The eggs were slightly eliptical with a diameter of  $0.7 - 0.8 \ mm$  (long

- 41 -

axis). Transferred to sea water and fertilized, the eggs absorbed water and the diameter of the chorion increased to 1.0 -1.1 mm. The chorion became transparent and almost spherical, the shape of the yolk mass was unchanged. The chorion of fertilized eggs was very fragile . (Details will be published elsewhere.) The incubation time was 16 hours and 10 hours at 20.5°C and 25.0°C respectively. The larvae were transparent without pigmentation at hatching, and the yolk sac was fully resorbed within three days at 25°C.

Broken hauls were taken from 100-50 and 50-0 meter on every second station during the last cruise. The results are presented in Table 15 as the distribution of B. pterotum eggs and larvae in double broken hauls through 24 hours.

	and a star way to be a set of the			a name where a name and a set of the
	broken haul	s from 100-50	and 50-0 meters d	lepth through
	24 hours. (	An hauls from 100-50 and 50-0 meters depth thropours.         (2-11 February, Gulf of Oman, see Fig. 21         · 12h       12h - 18h         12h       12h - 18h         100-50       50-0         100-50       50-0         0       0         0       0         0       0         1       99         20       80         27         4       6         6       5       5	e Fig. 21 B).	
Hours	06h - 12h	12h - 18h	18h - 24h	24h - 06h
Depth 1	00-50 50-0	100-50 50-0	100-50 50-0	100-50 50-0
% Eggs	100 0	0 0	0 0	81 19
% Larvae	30 70	1. 99	20 80	27 83
No. of hauls	4 4	б б	5 5	77
an the same of the second of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the		an a		

Table 15 The distribution of B pterotum eggs and larvae in

Most larvae were found in the upper 50 meters during 24 hours. Eggs were only found at midnight and in the early morning hours, and most eggs were found in the deepest hauls (100-50 m). The eggs found at midnight were all in the early clevage stages, and must have been spawned only a few hours prior to

42 -

sampling. Eggs were only found in two hauls between 06 hours to 12 hours and these eggs were close to hatching. In one set of triple broken hauls, 300-200, 200-100 and 100-0 meters taken at 23 hour (5 February), eggs were only found in the two deepest hauls, 5 and 61 eggs respectively.

These observations indicate that <u>B</u>. <u>pterotum</u> spawns in the mesopelagic layer at night, and that the eggs slowly ascends towards the surface and hatch before they reach the upper 50 meters of the water column. With a few exceptions yolk sac larvae were found in hauls from 50-0 meters. This means that the most vulnerable larval stages are spent in deeper waters.

The main spawning area was found in the western part of the Gulf of Oman. In this area the highest concentration of both fish and larvae of <u>B</u>. <u>pterotum</u> were found. Judging from the distribution of larvae presented in Fig. 21 A it seems, however, that spawning occurs all over the Gulf.

From the data on the spawning period (Fursa 1969, 1973 and Nellen 1973) and our data on the spawning biology and distributions of eggs and larvae of <u>B</u>. <u>pterotum</u> in time and space, a very high effort, distributed over most of the year, will be necessary to give reliable estimates of stock size of <u>B</u>. pterotum from egg and larval surveys.

### HYDROGRAPHICAL OBSERVATIONS

#### GULF OF OMAN

The hydrographical conditions in the Gulf of Oman during the two survey periods, summer 1979 (July-August) and winter 1981 (January-February) appear from Fig. 22-23. In the summer the surface water was characterized by high temperature stratifica- 44 -



t°C 👘

S ‰

02

Fig. 22 A



Fig. 22 B



Fig. 22 C

Fig. 22. Hydrographical sections in the Gulf of Oman. August 1979. A: Section I B: Section II C: Section III



Fig. 23 A



Fig. 23 B



Fig. 23. Hydrographical sections in the Gulf of Oman, January-February 1981. A: Section I B: Section II C: Section III tion with a thermocline at about 25 m. The stratification of the watermasses is clearly controlled by the temperature with very little stratification of the salinity distribution in the surface watermasses. In winter 1981 the surface water was mixed down to 100 m on all three sections in the central part of the Gulf. The watermasses in the southern part of the Gulf along the continental slope of the Sultanat of Oman are influenced by watermasses that most probably originates from the Persian Gulf. This was observed in both surveys. In summer 1979 a core of water with high salinity was observed at section III (Fig. 22 C) at a depth between 150-250 m and at section II at about 175-300 m close to the continental slope. In winter 1981 the core of this watermass was observed at about the same depths and positions (Fig. 23).

The distribution of the oxygen content of the watermasses in the Gulf of Oman followed that of the temperature. The highest gradients were observed in the thermocline in both survey periods (Fig. 22-23). In summer 1979 water with oxygen concentrations lower than 0.5 ml/l was observed below 100 m on section I, and at about 400 m on section II and III. In winter 1981 oxygen minimum watermasses were found at 100-250 m on section I and cores of watermasses with low O<sub>2</sub> content at 150-200 m and 200-350 m on section II and on section III below 350 m.

#### GULF OF ADEN

The hydrographic features of the Gulf of Aden during the two survey periods are presented in Figs. 24-25. The surface watermasses were characteristic for the two seasons. During summer 1979 we observed the thermocline in the upper 50 m, varying in depth due to the current systems in the Gulf (Fig. 24). In winter 1981 the upper 100 m was characterized by unstable mixed watermasses, except for the central part of the Gulf where we observed a core of surface water with higher temperature and salinity. The thermocline was observed at about 100 m (Fig. 25).

- 47 -

The deep watermasses in the southern part of the Gulf was most probably influenced by currents from the Red Sea. A core of watermasses with high salinity  $(>36,5^{\circ}/\circ\circ)$  was observed at 250 m decending to more than 500 m on section I in summer 1979 (Fig. 24). In winter 1981 a core of watermass was observed in the same section (I) at about 400 m (Fig. 25). Oxygen minimum layers were found in summer 1979 at about 100-150 m on section I and II. In 1981 oxygen minimum layers were found in the Gulf of Aden at about 200 m.



- 48 -







t°C

Fig. 24 B

Fig. 24. Hydrographical sections in the Gulf of Aden. August 1979. A: Section I B: Section II

- 49 -





st.

Fig. 25. Hydrographical section in the Gulf of Aden. February 1981.

- 50 -

TECHNOLOGICAL WORK WITH BENTHOSEMA PTEROTUM

# Storage experiments

In fresh condition <u>B</u>. <u>pterotum</u> has firm flesh and a strong and very typical smell which is difficult to describe.

(i) No cooling, no draining.

A quantity of 10 kg fish caught 3/8 in the Gulf of Oman (trawl station 202, N  $24^{\circ}03$ ' E  $58^{\circ}07$ ') with an initial temperature of  $25^{\circ}C$  and a pH 6.6 was held in a container at ambient temperature. Changes during storage are recorded in Table 16. Samples were prepared during the experiment for later analysis in Tromsø: 40 grams minced fish was mixed with 40 ml of 10% TCA (Trichloracetic acid) and subsequently frozen; also 1 kg was taken from the batch and frozen as well.

Day	time	temp.( <sup>0</sup> C)	рĦ	Appearance and smell
3/8	12.30	24.8	6.6	fresh
	14.30	31.0	6.6	fresh
	16.45	31.4	6.7	not fresh
	18.45	31.6	6.7	not fresh
	21.00	33.8	6.6	slightly spoiled
4/8	03.00	30.2	6.5	spoiled

Table 16. Storage experiment with B. pterotum.

(ii) Cooling with freshwater ice, no draining.

A quality of 20 liters of fish caught 2/8 in the Gulf of Oman at trawl station 199 (N  $24^{\circ}03$ ' E  $58^{\circ}07$ ') was mixed with 10 liters crushed freshwater ice and stored in the chill room of the ship which is normally kept at  $-10^{\circ}$ C. Changes are seen in Table 17. Samples were taken during the experiment for further analysis in Tromsø.

Day	time	temp.( <sup>O</sup> C)	рН	Appearance and smell
2/8	23.00	25.0	6.6	fresh, bright, typical smell
	24.00	8.4	7.3	fresh; paler
3/8	24/00	-1.0	7.4	fresh; pale
4/8		0	7.2	
5/8		1	7.0	
7/8		8	7.3	fresh; pale
8/8		5	7.8	
9/8	•	6	7.0	not fresh; paler; softening; sweet smell
10/8		3	7.4	19 ft 19
11/8				11 11 11
12/8				slightly spoiled
13/8				spoiled

Table 17. Storage experiment with B. pterotum.

### Silage

Silage was prepared from 5 liters minced fish and 85 ml of a mixture of propionic and formic acid (1:1) both of 85% concentration. After mixing the pH was 4.5. The fish had been caught 3/8 at trawl station 202 (N  $24^{\circ}03'$  E  $58^{\circ}07'$ ) in the Gulf of Oman. The initial temperature was  $24.8^{\circ}$ C. Daily samples were taken for analysis in Tromsø.

## Autolysis

A quantity of 10 liters fish caught 3/8 in the Gulf of Oman at trawl station 202 was stored at  $45^{\circ}$ C in the engine room of the ship. After 6 hours the fish was spoiled. It appears that the initial temperature of  $25^{\circ}$ C is too low and warming up too slow to obtain any positive preserving effects of the high ambient temperature.

#### REFERENCES

- Aglen, A., Gjøsæter, J. and Tilseth, S. 1981. A survey of mesopelagic fish resources in the Gulf of Oman and the Gulf of Aden, January - February 1981. MS. report. 37 pp.
- FAO, Pelagic resources of the Gulf and Gulf of Oman. Regional fishery survey and development project. Rome, FAO, FI: DP/RAB/71/278/11: 1-144.
- Fisher, F.H. and Simmons, V.P. 1977. Sound absorption in sea water. J. Acoust. Soc. Am., 62: 558-564.
- Foote, K.G. 1981. Absorption term in time-varied gain functions. Fisk. Dir. Skr. Ser. HavUnders., 17: 191-213.
- Fursa, T.L. 1969. Quantitative and qualitative characteristics
   of ichthyoplankton off the west coast of Hindustan.
   J. Ichthyol., 9: 394-403.
  - 1973. The distribution of fish plankton in the northeastern part of the Arabian Sea. J. Ichthyol., 13: 536-42.
- Gjøsæter, J. 1978. Aspects of the distribution and ecology of the Myctophidae from the western and northern Arabean Sea. In Report of the FAO/Norway workshop on the fishery resources in the North Arabean Sea. Vol.2. Papers. FAO, IOFC/DEV/78/43.2: 62-108.

1981a. Abundance and production of lanternfish (Myctophidae) in the western and northern Arabean Sea. Fisk. Dir. Skr. Ser. HavUnders., 17: 215-257.

1981b. Review of the mesopelagic fish resources of the Arabian Sea. FAO/GCP/INT/368(NOR): 1-32.

- Gjøsæter, J. and Kawaguchi, K. 1980. A review of the world resources of mesopelagic fish. <u>FAO Fish. Tech. Pap</u>. (193): 1-151.
- Gjøsæter, J. and Myrseth, B. 1979. A survey of mesopelagic fish resources in the Gulf of Oman and the Gulf of Aden, July - August 1979. MS. report. 26 pp.
- Nakken, O. and Aung, S. 1980. <u>A survey of the fish resources</u> of Burma, September - November, 1979. Rept. Surv. R/V "Dr. Fridtjof Nansen". Bergen, 1980. 18 pp.
- Nellen, W. 1973. Fischlarven des Indischen Ozeans, Ergebnisse der Expedition des Forschungsschiffes "Meteor" in den Indischen Ozean und Persischen Gulf, Oktober 1964 bis April 1965. <u>Meteor Forschungsergeb.(D.Biol.)</u>, 14: 1-66.
- Wijkstöm, U. 1978. Note on the economic viability of a future fishmeal and oil industry based on mesopelagic species in the countries bordering the North Arabean Sea. <u>In FAO/Norway workshop on the fishery resources of</u> <u>the North Arabean Sea</u>. Vol.2. Papers. FAO, IOFC/DEV/ 78/43.2: 164-193.

ANNEX 1. Scientific and technical staff.

Cruise 1. 4 July - 30 August 1979.

A. Aglen	(from 1 August)
A.M.A. Al-Harthy	(10-20 July)
M.A. Ghaddaff	(from ll August)
H. Gjøsæter	
J. Gjøsæter	(from 11 August)
H. Jensen	(till 31 July)
I.M. Hassan	(from ll August)
J. Klett	
B. Myrseth	(till 31 July)
Y.A. Nuur	(from 12 August)
H. Pettersen	
T. Strømme	
F.J. Teutscher	(parts of August)
H. Ullebust.	

Cruise 2. 24 January - 26 February 1981.

Α.	Aglen	
Α.	Al-Amry	(31 January - 13 February)
М.	Bouhlel	(22 - 26 February)
J.	Gjøsæter	(from 31 January)
к.	Hansen	
J.E	P. Maude	
I.	Svellingen	
s.	Tilseth	(till 13 February)

ANNEX 2. Details of the acoustic equipment and settings used.

During both cruises a 38 kHz scientific sounder was connected to two echo integrators. The settings used were as follows:

TVG and receiver gain	20logR-20dB
Recorder gain	8
Pulse length	0.6 msec
Bandwidth	3 kHz (1 kHz in 1979)
Transducer	$8^{\circ} \times 8^{\circ}$ , ceramic
l0 log $\psi$	-21 dB
Integrator gain	20 dB
Integrator recorder mode	x 10
Integrator threshold	1 (~0.01Vp)

At these settings calibration were performed in January 1981 both with a -29 dB steel sphere (130 mm in diameter) and a -35 dB cuprous sphere (50 mm diameter). The calibrations gave a sum of source level and voltage respons of 138 dB, at 20 m depth. The integrated echo intensity from the steel sphere showed 1.4 dB drop when applying 1 kHz bandwidth instead of 3 kHz. Repeated hydrophone calibrations from August 1979 to August 1980 have shown a stable SL of  $233\pm1$  dB//lµPa ref. 1 m.

The receiver gain and time varied gain (TVG) have, however, been changed (in April 1980) as Figure 26 shows.

According to Fisher and Simmons (1977) and Foote (1981) the hydrographic conditions in the area gives a sound absorption of about 7 dB/km at 38 kHz. Based on this an ideal TVG is shown in Figure 26. This shows that the TVG applied in 1979 was too high while the one applied in 1981 was too low. In 1981 corrections were made according to the depth of the recordings, but no corrections were made in 1979. This is believed to be the main reason why the integrator values obtained during night tended to be higher than during day in 1979. (In 1981 the opposite tendency was found among the uncorrected values). For the depth intervals where most of the myctophid fish recordings occurred the difference between August 1979 and January 1981 is about 7 dB.

The factors applied for converting echo-intensities to fish densities were

 $C_{79} = 0.1 \cdot L \text{ tonnes/n.mile}^2 \text{ per mm per n.mile}$   $C_{81} = 0.6 \cdot L$  " " " " " " for 1979 and 1981 respectively.

(L = standard length, cm). These are based on an average target strength of -10logL -22 dB per kg fish. This is compareable to the value of -28.4 dB/kg for 5.2 cm fish applied by FAO (1981), when the backscattering cross section per kg fish is assumed to decrease linearily with fish length. During other cruises with "Dr. Fridtjof Nansen" in 1979 a conversion factor of 0.2.L tonnes/n.mile<sup>2</sup> was applied. This value was, however, assumed to represent a cod type fish which is more heavy bodied (NAKKEN & AUNG 1980).

# Threshold settings

In the Gulf of Aden the fish tended to be very scattered vertically. This distribution resulted in weak echoes over a great depth interval. This situation requires high signal/noise ratio. Figure 27 shows the smallest recordable target strength for single fishes as function of depth, when the lowest threshold not including noise is applied. At the standard setting (20 logR-20 dB) the threshold setting was limited by electronical noise (0.005 Volt) which corresponds to a TS<sub>min</sub>=-48 dB at 500 m depth. While applying the 20 logR - 0 dB setting, all acoustical signals at calibrated output were raised by 20 dB while the electronical noise remained constant. The threshold setting was thus limited by the acoustic noise corresponding to a  $TS_{min}$ =-59 dB at 500 m depth. This latter setting was applied during the last week of the cruise in the Gulf of Aden in 1979. It was found to give 20-30% higher integrator values for the most scattered recordings at about 350 m depth. However, it was not applied during the survey in 1981 because signals from more dense

recordings in the upper layer easily run into saturation in the receiver when the 20 logR -0 dB setting is used. This is shown by the low  $TS_{max}$  in Figure 27. At the most applied settings (20 logR -20 dB and ca 0.01 Volt threshold) proper integration is made of targets of less than -30 dB target strength at 10 m depth or more than -42 dB at 500 m when referred to single fishes. This  $TS_{min}^{}=-42$  dB corresponds to a minimum volume back scattering strength of -72 dB/m<sup>3</sup> or a "threshold density" of about 0.1 grams/m<sup>3</sup> when referred to multiple scatterers.



Figure 26

Time varied gain functions:

I - Function applied in 1979

II- Function applied in 1981

III- Ideal function according to hydrographic conditions.



- Figure 27 Maximum and minimum target strength (for single fishes) required for proper echo integration.
  - I: Maximum target strength (limited by receiver saturation)
  - II: Minimum target strength to avoid masking by electrical noise (0.005 Vp at calibrated output)
  - III: Minimum target strength to avoid masking by "normal" acoustic noise.

IV: Minimum TS when using 0.01 Vp threshold.

B:  $20 \log R - 0 dB mode$ 

ANNEX 3

Records of fishing operations.

Table 1. Trawl stations in the Gulf of Oman 1979. Table 2. Trawl stations in the Gulf of Aden 1979. Table 3. Trawl stations in the Gulf of Oman 1981. Table 4. Trawl stations in the Gulf of Aden 1981.

	1	(								62	] _											
	Notes		Mostly shrimps	X				Mostly shrimps 7.0 kg/h				Salps 5.7, shrimps 5.3 (kg/h)	Mostly Salps 11.1 kg/h									
	hr. Others		18	ቲ		т		13	m			11	12	4								
	ch pr. Other fish	e	17	ሻ		21		30	7	е Г		21	ቅ	4						7	10	
	Cat( Mycto- phidae	97	45	r r	492	36	403	20	29	156	16	Q	89	80	218	246	403	373		83	95	
	Total catch kg.	30	40	13	330	40	270	31	19	120	65	25	70	50	120	165	270	250	40	60	70	
rawl	Position N E	24 <sup>0</sup> 22' 57 <sup>0</sup> 07'	24 <sup>0</sup> 231 58 <sup>0</sup> 081	24 <sup>0</sup> 18' 59 <sup>0</sup> 51'	24 <sup>0</sup> 15, 59 <sup>0</sup> 51'	24 <sup>0</sup> 14' 59 <sup>0</sup> 51'	23 <sup>0</sup> 54, 59 <sup>0</sup> 17'	24 <sup>0</sup> 40' 59 <sup>0</sup> 01'	23 <sup>0</sup> 41' 58 <sup>0</sup> 59'	23 <sup>0</sup> 461 59 <sup>0</sup> 491	23 <sup>0</sup> 22' 60 <sup>0</sup> 23'	24 <sup>0</sup> 04' 58 <sup>0</sup> 49'	24 <sup>0</sup> 07' 58 <sup>0</sup> 48'	24 <sup>0</sup> 08' 58 <sup>0</sup> 50'	24 <sup>0</sup> 18' 58 <sup>0</sup> 56'	24 <sup>0</sup> 24' 58 <sup>0</sup> 36'	24 <sup>0</sup> 24' 58 <sup>0</sup> 35'	24 <sup>0</sup> 31' 58 <sup>0</sup> 25'	24 <sup>0</sup> 29' 57 <sup>0</sup> 56'	24 <sup>0</sup> 12' 57 <sup>0</sup> 38'	24 <sup>0</sup> 13' 57 <sup>0</sup> 41'	·
ırstadtı	Trawl type	M	м	м	м	м	м	¥	м	М	К	Х	М	Н	Ķ	М	Н	Х	м	н	М	
L H: H	Scattering layer type	I N	D II	D II	D I	D II	D I	N II	ΗN	D I	D I	II N	N I	I N	DI	DI	D I	D I	D II	N I	N I	
illtraw	Trawl depth	30	285	278	165	285	130	232	46	102	152	245	42	41	105	176	183	193	255	38	40	
K: Kr	Bottom depth	300		+	+		÷			+	÷	+	÷	+	+	÷	+	+		+	÷	
ltype:	Time trawled	0.3	0.5	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.67	0.5	0.5	0.73	0.71	0.67	0.67	0.57	0.55	0.67	0.67	0.67	۰.	0.67	0.67	
Тгам	st. no.	147	148	149	150	151	152	154	155	156	157	158	159	160	161	162	163	164	165	166	167	
	Time start	2255	0918	1743	0757	0955	1755	2133	2259	0511	1735	2137	2319	0123	0507	0913	1110	1336	<u>۰</u> .	2335	0111	
	Date	5.7	6.7	. 6.7	7.7	7°L	7.7	10.7	10.7	11.7	11.7	12.7	12.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	14.7	
	Trawltype: K: Krilltrawl H: Harstadtrawl	Trawltype:K: KrilltrawlH: HarstadtrawlDate TimeSt. TimeBottomTrawlScatteringTrawlPositionTotalCatch pr. hr.Startno.trawleddepthlayertypeNEkg.phidaefish	Trawltype:K: KrilltrawlH: HarstadtrawlDateTimeSt. TimeBottomTrawlScatteringTrawlPositionTotalCatch pr. hr.DateTimeSt. TimeBottomTrawlScatteringTrawlPositionTotalCatch pr. hr.Startno.trawleddepthdepthlayer typetypeNEkg.phidaefish5.72251470.33030NK $24^{o}22^{\circ}57^{o}07^{\circ}$ 30973	Trawltype:K: KrilltrawlH: HarstadtrawlDateTimeSt. TimeBottomTrawlScatteringTrawlPositionTotalCatchNr.Startno.trawleddepthdepthlayer typetypeNEkg.phidaefish5.722551470.330030N IK $24^{\circ}22^{\circ}57^{\circ}07^{\circ}$ 309736.703181480.5285D IIK $24^{\circ}23^{\circ}58^{\circ}08^{\circ}$ 40451718Mostly shrimps	Trantinge:HarstadtrawlDateSt.TimeBottomTrawlScatteringTrawlPositionTotalCatch pr.hr.bateSt.TimeBottomTrawlScatteringTrawlPositionTotalCatch pr.hr.5.722551470.330030N IK $24^{\circ}22^{\circ}$ $57^{\circ}07^{\circ}$ 309736.709181480.5285D IIK $24^{\circ}23^{\circ}$ $58^{\circ}08^{\circ}$ 40451718Mostly shrimps6.71731490.67+278D IIK $24^{\circ}23^{\circ}$ $58^{\circ}08^{\circ}$ 40451718Mostly shrimps	Trawitype:K: KrilltrawiH: HarstaftrawiDateTimeSt.TimeBottomTrawitScatteringTrawitPositionCatch $rin.$ Startno.trawieddepthdepthdepthlayer typetypeN $reatch$ $Noto-$ OtherOtherNotes5.722551470.330030NK $24^{\circ}22^{\circ}$ $57^{\circ}07^{\circ}$ 309736.709181480.5285DIIK $24^{\circ}23^{\circ}$ $58^{\circ}08^{\circ}$ 40451718Mostly shrimps6.717431490.67+278DIIK $24^{\circ}18^{\circ}$ 59^{\circ}51^{\circ}1311447.707511500.67+165DIK $24^{\circ}15^{\circ}59^{\circ}51^{\circ}$ 334921144	Tranitype: X: Krilltrawl       H: Harstadtrawl         Date       St.       Time       Bottom       Trawl       Scattering       Tawl       Deteine       Cotal       Catch pr.       N.         5.7       2255       147       0.3       300       30       NI       K $24^{\circ}22'$ $57^{\circ}07'$ 30       97       3         6.7       018       148       0.5       285       D II       K $24^{\circ}22'$ $57^{\circ}07'$ 30       97       3         6.7       018       148       0.5       285       D II       K $24^{\circ}23'$ $58^{\circ}08'$ 40       45       4       4         6.7       1743       149       0.67       +       278       D II       K $24^{\circ}015'$ $59^{\circ}51'$ 13       11       4       4       4         7.7       055       150       0.67       17       13       11       4 <td>Trawitype: X: Kriltrawi         H: Harstadtrawi           Date Time St. Time Bottom Trawi         Scattering Trawi         Fish Catch pr. hr.           Date Start         St. Time         Bottom Trawi         Scattering Trawi         Trawi         Position Catch pr. hr.         Catch pr. hr.         Notes           5.7         2255         147         0.3         300         30         Nr         K         <math>24^{\circ}</math>23'         <math>59^{\circ}</math>050'         30         97         3           6.7         0918         148         0.5         285         D         II         K         <math>24^{\circ}</math>23'         <math>58^{\circ}</math>08'         40         <math>45</math>         17         18         Mostly shrinps           6.7         1743         149         0.67         +         278         D         11         4         4           7.7         0757         150         0.67         +         165         D         17         <math>49^{\circ}</math> <math>49^{\circ}</math>           7.7         055         151         0.67         +         130         29^{\circ}         <math>330^{\circ}</math> <math>49^{\circ}</math> <math>14^{\circ}</math> <math>14^{\circ}</math> <math>14^{\circ}</math> <math>11^{\circ}</math> <math>11^{\circ}</math> <math>11^{\circ}</math> <math>11</math></td> <td>Tranitype:         X: Krilltrawl         H: Harstadtrawl           Date         Time         St.         Time         Bottom         Trawit         Scattering         Trawit         Position         Catch         Other         Other&lt;</td> <td>Trawitype:         K: Krilltrawi         H: Harstadtrawi           Date         Time         St.         Time         Bottom         Trawit         Scattering         Trawit         Position         Total         Catch         Print         Notes         No         Notes         No</td> <td>Tranitype:         X: Krilltrawl         H: Harstadtrawl           Date         Time         St. Time         Bottom         Travi         Scattering         Travi         Fost         Differ         <thdit< th=""> <thdiffer< th=""> <thdit< th=""></thdit<></thdiffer<></thdit<></td> <td>Trawitype:         X: Krilltrawi         H: Harstadtrawi           Date         Time         St.         Time         Botton         Trawit         H: Harstadtrawi         Position         Catch         Fir.         Notes           5.7         255         147         0.3         300         30         Nr         K         <math>2^40_{22}</math> <math>5^70_{7}</math>         30         97         3         Notes           6.7         918         0.5         -         285         DIT         K         <math>2^40_{23}</math> <math>5^90_{7}</math>         30         97         3         Notes           6.7         1743         0.5         +         285         DIT         K         <math>2^40_{23}</math> <math>5^90_{7}</math>         30         97         3         1         4         4         4           7.7         0751         140         0.5         DIT         K         <math>2^40_{15}</math> <math>5^90_{11}</math>         30         30         1         4         4         4           7.7         0751         150         0.667         +         165         DIT         K         <math>2^40_{15}</math> <math>5^90_{11}</math>         30         13         1         4</td> <td>Tranditype:         K: Krilltrawi         H: Harstadtrawi           Date Time         Start for the form travial contering travial         Form travial contenting travial         Form travial         Start for the form travial         Start for the form travial         Start for the form travial         Catch Nycto- Other Others         Notes           5.7         2355         147         0.3         300         30         NI         K         24<sup>2</sup>22         57<sup>0</sup>07         30         97         3         3           6.7         0918         148         0.5         +         165         DII         K         24<sup>2</sup>23         58<sup>0</sup>08         40         45         17         18         Mostly shrimps           6.7         1743         149         0.67         +         278         DII         K         24<sup>2</sup>01         330         492         1         4         4         4         4           7.7         0757         150         0.67         +         165         DII         K         24<sup>2</sup>01         59<sup>6</sup>01         30         30         30         30         30         30         30         30</td> <td>Tranditype:         K: Krilltrawl         H: Earstaditawl           Date         Time         St.         Time         Bottom         Tawl type         Type         Not         Note:         Others         Note:         Note:</td> <td>Tranklype:         X: Krilltrawl         H: Harstadtrawl           Date         Tranklype:         X: Krilltrawl         H: Harstadtrawl           Date         Time         St.         Time         Botton         Trankl         Cattering         Trankl         Cattering         Trankl         Notes         Notes         Notes           5.7         2255         147         0.3         300         30         NI         K         24<sup>2</sup>25         57<sup>0</sup>07         30         97         3         Notes         Notes           6.7         134         149         0.55         11         K         24<sup>2</sup>25         57<sup>0</sup>07         30         97         3         Notes         Notes           7.7         0357         150         0.67         +         165         D         K         24<sup>9</sup>05         330         492         3         Notes           7.7         0357         150         0.67         +         130         D         K         24<sup>9</sup>017         270         492         3         Nostly shrings           7.7         0351         150         0.67         +         130         12</td> <td>Tranitype:         X:xtilitrawi         II: arestaterawi           bet         Time         St.         Time         Bottom         Trawi         Scattering         Trawi         Notes         Notes         Notes           5:7         2255         147         0.3         300         30         NI         X         24<sup>2</sup>23'         S6'08'         40         47         4         4           5.7         2255         147         0.3         300         30         NI         X         24<sup>2</sup>23'         S6'08'         40         4         4         4           7.7         0757         150         0.5         +         156         0.1         4         4         4           7.7         055         151         0.67         +         156         0.1         4         4         4           7.7         175         155         0.57         +         24<sup>2</sup>03'         59'01'         30         432         4         4           7.7         175         152         0.57         +         24<sup>2</sup>03'         59'01'         30         12         30         432         4           10.7         133         156&lt;</td> <td>Trawltype:         K: Krilltrawl         H: Barstadtrawl           bat         Yime         St.         Yime         Bottom         Teakl         Teath         Total         Catch         Pr. IN:         Notes         Notes           5:1         Yime         Bottom         Teakl         Nayer         Vype         Vype         Vype         Vype         Vype         Vype         Not         Notes         Notes         Notes           5:7         2055         147         0.3         Not         N         X         Za<sup>0</sup>22         57<sup>0</sup>07         30         97         3         Notes         Notes           7.7         055         149         0.5         +         165         D         1         X         Za<sup>0</sup>23         55091         30         432         7         7           7.7         055         151         0.67         +         130         D         Y         23<sup>0</sup>24         59<sup>0</sup>11         31         20         31         20         31         20         31         20         31         30         11         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         &lt;</td> <td>Transitive         K: K: K: III: III: K: K: K: III: II: K: K:</td> <td>Treating:         k: K: K: IIII: K: K:</td> <td>Tranditype: K: Krilltrawi. A: Barstadtrawi. Dete Time St. Trandito St. Trandito Settoring Trawi. Sett</td> <td>The part of the set of the</td> <td></td>	Trawitype: X: Kriltrawi         H: Harstadtrawi           Date Time St. Time Bottom Trawi         Scattering Trawi         Fish Catch pr. hr.           Date Start         St. Time         Bottom Trawi         Scattering Trawi         Trawi         Position Catch pr. hr.         Catch pr. hr.         Notes           5.7         2255         147         0.3         300         30         Nr         K $24^{\circ}$ 23' $59^{\circ}$ 050'         30         97         3           6.7         0918         148         0.5         285         D         II         K $24^{\circ}$ 23' $58^{\circ}$ 08'         40 $45$ 17         18         Mostly shrinps           6.7         1743         149         0.67         +         278         D         11         4         4           7.7         0757         150         0.67         +         165         D         17 $49^{\circ}$ $49^{\circ}$ 7.7         055         151         0.67         +         130         29^{\circ} $330^{\circ}$ $49^{\circ}$ $14^{\circ}$ $14^{\circ}$ $14^{\circ}$ $11^{\circ}$ $11^{\circ}$ $11^{\circ}$ $11$	Tranitype:         X: Krilltrawl         H: Harstadtrawl           Date         Time         St.         Time         Bottom         Trawit         Scattering         Trawit         Position         Catch         Other         Other<	Trawitype:         K: Krilltrawi         H: Harstadtrawi           Date         Time         St.         Time         Bottom         Trawit         Scattering         Trawit         Position         Total         Catch         Print         Notes         No         Notes         No	Tranitype:         X: Krilltrawl         H: Harstadtrawl           Date         Time         St. Time         Bottom         Travi         Scattering         Travi         Fost         Differ         Differ <thdit< th=""> <thdiffer< th=""> <thdit< th=""></thdit<></thdiffer<></thdit<>	Trawitype:         X: Krilltrawi         H: Harstadtrawi           Date         Time         St.         Time         Botton         Trawit         H: Harstadtrawi         Position         Catch         Fir.         Notes           5.7         255         147         0.3         300         30         Nr         K $2^40_{22}$ $5^70_{7}$ 30         97         3         Notes           6.7         918         0.5         -         285         DIT         K $2^40_{23}$ $5^90_{7}$ 30         97         3         Notes           6.7         1743         0.5         +         285         DIT         K $2^40_{23}$ $5^90_{7}$ 30         97         3         1         4         4         4           7.7         0751         140         0.5         DIT         K $2^40_{15}$ $5^90_{11}$ 30         30         1         4         4         4           7.7         0751         150         0.667         +         165         DIT         K $2^40_{15}$ $5^90_{11}$ 30         13         1         4	Tranditype:         K: Krilltrawi         H: Harstadtrawi           Date Time         Start for the form travial contering travial         Form travial contenting travial         Form travial         Start for the form travial         Start for the form travial         Start for the form travial         Catch Nycto- Other Others         Notes           5.7         2355         147         0.3         300         30         NI         K         24 <sup>2</sup> 22         57 <sup>0</sup> 07         30         97         3         3           6.7         0918         148         0.5         +         165         DII         K         24 <sup>2</sup> 23         58 <sup>0</sup> 08         40         45         17         18         Mostly shrimps           6.7         1743         149         0.67         +         278         DII         K         24 <sup>2</sup> 01         330         492         1         4         4         4         4           7.7         0757         150         0.67         +         165         DII         K         24 <sup>2</sup> 01         59 <sup>6</sup> 01         30         30         30         30         30         30         30         30	Tranditype:         K: Krilltrawl         H: Earstaditawl           Date         Time         St.         Time         Bottom         Tawl type         Type         Not         Note:         Others         Note:         Note:	Tranklype:         X: Krilltrawl         H: Harstadtrawl           Date         Tranklype:         X: Krilltrawl         H: Harstadtrawl           Date         Time         St.         Time         Botton         Trankl         Cattering         Trankl         Cattering         Trankl         Notes         Notes         Notes           5.7         2255         147         0.3         300         30         NI         K         24 <sup>2</sup> 25         57 <sup>0</sup> 07         30         97         3         Notes         Notes           6.7         134         149         0.55         11         K         24 <sup>2</sup> 25         57 <sup>0</sup> 07         30         97         3         Notes         Notes           7.7         0357         150         0.67         +         165         D         K         24 <sup>9</sup> 05         330         492         3         Notes           7.7         0357         150         0.67         +         130         D         K         24 <sup>9</sup> 017         270         492         3         Nostly shrings           7.7         0351         150         0.67         +         130         12	Tranitype:         X:xtilitrawi         II: arestaterawi           bet         Time         St.         Time         Bottom         Trawi         Scattering         Trawi         Notes         Notes         Notes           5:7         2255         147         0.3         300         30         NI         X         24 <sup>2</sup> 23'         S6'08'         40         47         4         4           5.7         2255         147         0.3         300         30         NI         X         24 <sup>2</sup> 23'         S6'08'         40         4         4         4           7.7         0757         150         0.5         +         156         0.1         4         4         4           7.7         055         151         0.67         +         156         0.1         4         4         4           7.7         175         155         0.57         +         24 <sup>2</sup> 03'         59'01'         30         432         4         4           7.7         175         152         0.57         +         24 <sup>2</sup> 03'         59'01'         30         12         30         432         4           10.7         133         156<	Trawltype:         K: Krilltrawl         H: Barstadtrawl           bat         Yime         St.         Yime         Bottom         Teakl         Teath         Total         Catch         Pr. IN:         Notes         Notes           5:1         Yime         Bottom         Teakl         Nayer         Vype         Vype         Vype         Vype         Vype         Vype         Not         Notes         Notes         Notes           5:7         2055         147         0.3         Not         N         X         Za <sup>0</sup> 22         57 <sup>0</sup> 07         30         97         3         Notes         Notes           7.7         055         149         0.5         +         165         D         1         X         Za <sup>0</sup> 23         55091         30         432         7         7           7.7         055         151         0.67         +         130         D         Y         23 <sup>0</sup> 24         59 <sup>0</sup> 11         31         20         31         20         31         20         31         20         31         30         11         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         4         <	Transitive         K: K: K: III: III: K: K: K: III: II: K:	Treating:         k: K: K: IIII: K:	Tranditype: K: Krilltrawi. A: Barstadtrawi. Dete Time St. Trandito St. Trandito Settoring Trawi. Sett	The part of the set of the	

500 m. 4 È 7.7 5 ŝ 4 4 r t t C d 1070 ŕ Q ų 4 n B 2 5 - 6 -

Ца

te Tin												
sti	ne St art no	. Time . trawled	Bottom depth	Trawl depth	Scattering layer type	Trawl type	Position N E	Total catch kg.	Cat Mycto- phidae	cch pr. Other fish	hr. Others	Notes
.7 025	51 16	8 1.5	+	40	I N	Я	24 <sup>0</sup> 12' 57 <sup>0</sup> 35'	210	135	m	<b>M</b>	
.7 06(	<b>JB 16</b>	9 0.5	300	226	D II	К	24 <sup>0</sup> 06' 57 <sup>0</sup> 21'	195	30			
.7 10(	00 17	0 0.67	350	285	D II	м	24 <sup>0</sup> 14' 57 <sup>0</sup> 14'	92	66	4	67	Shrimps 40, Squids 4, Salps 20 (kg/h)
.7 125	56 17	1 0.65	327	327		д	24 <sup>0</sup> 18' 57 <sup>0</sup> 07'	249		373	10	
.7 22(	07 17	2 0.58	+	35	N I	K	24 <sup>0</sup> 46' 57 <sup>0</sup> 07'	28	43	m	2	
.7 23	20 17	3 0.33	+	25	I N	Х	24 <sup>0</sup> 45 57 <sup>0</sup> 07'	40	104	m	12	Salps Il kg/h
.7 00!	57 17	4 0.5	+	35	N I	н	24 <sup>0</sup> 451 57 <sup>0</sup> 071	60	112		8	
.7 09.	31 17	5 0.68	÷	281	D II	Я	25 <sup>0</sup> 01' 57 <sup>0</sup> 09'	33	6	L	33	Shrimps 21 kg/h
.7 11.	15 17	6 0.67	÷	291	D II	Η	25 <sup>0</sup> 01' 57 <sup>0</sup> 09'	20	ŝ	m	22	" 11 kg/h
.7 13(	03 17	7 0.67	+	227	D I	Н	25 <sup>0</sup> 05 57 <sup>0</sup> 11	06	135			
.7 14	42 17	8 0.67	+	227	DI	К	24 <sup>0</sup> 591 57 <sup>0</sup> 091	06	134	r-1		
.7 22.	12 17	.0.67	+	48	IN	М	25 <sup>0</sup> 08' 57 <sup>0</sup> 01'	180	258		11	
•7 23	49 18	0 I	÷	36	N I	н	25 <sup>0</sup> 09' 57 <sup>0</sup> 01'	110	108	7		
.7 01	35 18	1 0.67	+	33	I N	н	25 <sup>0</sup> 09' 57 <sup>0</sup> 06'	330	493			
.7 03(	03 18	2 0.67	+	33	N I	м	25°12' 57 <sup>0</sup> 03'	330	469	7	17	Salps 10, Squids 7
.7 091	05 18	13 0.7	+	185	DI	м	25 <sup>0</sup> 11' 56 <sup>0</sup> 50'	006	1285			
.7 10.	22 18	14 0.67	185	170		ф	24 <sup>0</sup> 48' 56 <sup>0</sup> 54'	382	12	201	25	
.7 14	50 18	5 0.5	273	258		В	24 <sup>0</sup> 351 56 <sup>0</sup> 541	960	20	1891	ნ	
.7 17.	17 16	16 0.5	273	225	D II	Н	24 <sup>0</sup> 361 56 <sup>0</sup> 541	150	289	TO	Ц	
.7 21.	12 18	17 0.53		212	II N	M	24 <sup>0</sup> 32' 57 <sup>0</sup> 02'	20	33	ю	2	
.7 22	37 18	18 0.72		46	N I	Н	24 <sup>0</sup> 33' 56 <sup>0</sup> 59'	150	202	4	2	
.7 11.	30 19	0 0.67	+	204	ПQ	М	24 <sup>0</sup> 07' 57 <sup>0</sup> 38'	100	148	2		

- 63 -

	S														
	Not														
hr.	Others		45	ε	11			•			14	17		г	14
ch pr.	Other fish		41	26	10	13				5	2	თ		m	7
Cat	Mycto- phidae	255	4	12	249	387	60	270	68	58	64	15	750	76	213
Total	catch kg.	170	45	15	135	200	45	135	45	60	40	40	750	40	144
	E	127°37	58°44	• 58 <sup>0</sup> 44	' 58 <sup>0</sup> 01'	• 58 <sup>0</sup> 02	** 57 <sup>0</sup> 53*	1 58 <sup>0</sup> 161	، 58 <sup>0</sup> 13'	1 58 <sup>0</sup> 071	, 58 <sup>0</sup> 07'	1 57 <sup>0</sup> 301	1 58 <sup>0</sup> 071	1 58 <sup>0</sup> 041	;* 58 <sup>0</sup> 02°
	N N	24 <sup>0</sup> 08	23 <sup>0</sup> 55	23 <sup>0</sup> 59	24 <sup>0</sup> 07	24 <sup>0</sup> 07	24 <sup>0</sup> 37	24 <sup>0</sup> 47	24 <sup>0</sup> 49	24 <sup>0</sup> 03	24 <sup>0</sup> 03	24 <sup>0</sup> 06	24 <sup>0</sup> 03	24 <sup>0</sup> 00	23 <sup>0</sup> 55
LE	type	н	К	н	К	Η	Η	н	М	н	К	М	м	Ж	м
	layer type	DI	D II	D II	N I	N I	D I	D I	D I	N I	I N	N I	П	I N	I N
E Concerne	depth	187	315	277	20	20	100	160	175	30	30	25	180	21	18
	depth	388	+.		÷	÷	÷	+	+	+	÷	÷	+	÷	300
mi mo	trawled .	0.67	0.5	0.37	0.5	0.5	0.75	0.5	0.67	1	0.5	1	Т	0.5	0.62
10	טר. חס.	191	192	193	194	195	196	197	198	199	200	201	202	203	204
( in the	start	1340	1413	1809	2343	0108	0715	1406	1557	2105	2255	0350	1125	2150	0405
	חמרפ	19.7	29.7	29.7	29.7	30.7	30.7	30.7	30.7	2.8	2.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	4.8

- 64 -

······										Total	Cat	ch pr.	hr.	
Date	Time start	St. no.	Time trawled	Bottom depth	Trawl depth	Scattering layer type	Trawl type	Posi	tion E	catch kg.	Mycto- phidae	Other fish	Others	Notes
13.8	2205	206	0.5	340	32	N I	ĸ	11°46'	43 <sup>°</sup> 23'	60	73	42	5	میں
13.8	2359	207	0.5	330	27	NI	н	11°49'	43°23'	130	201	52	. 7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14.8	1 308	208	1	+	31.8	DII	ĸ	11°08'	44 <sup>0</sup> 32	10	2	4	5	
14.8	1604	209	0.5	+	184	I I	ĸ	11°13'	44°35'	20			36	· · ·
14.8	1713	210	0.5	+ .	0		ĸ	11016'	44 <sup>0</sup> 35'	15			30	
14.8	2245	211	0.5	+	30	NI	H	11 <sup>0</sup> 08'	45 <sup>0</sup> 08	15				
16.8	1.307	212	1.43	+	307	DII	ĸ	11005'	46 <sup>0</sup> 40'	15	1	5	5	
16.8	2214	213	0.5	?	52		H	11 <sup>0</sup> 19'	47 <sup>0</sup> 41'	1	· +	. 1		
20.8	1248	217	1	+	360	DII	L	13 <sup>0</sup> 28'	48 <sup>0</sup> 11 '	6	1	3	2	
20.8	2225	219	0.5	+	0		ĸ	13°46'	48 <sup>0</sup> 04 '	5	0	7	3	
21.8	0850	220	0.58	0	368	DII	ĸ	12 <sup>0</sup> 53'	47 <sup>0</sup> 00	10	4	11	2	
21.8	1802	221	0.63		200	D II	Ħ	11°55'	46 <sup>0</sup> 08 '	7	2		9	
21.8	2300	223	1.2	+	25	NI	ĸ	11 <sup>0</sup> 42'	46 <sup>0</sup> 03 '	. 5	2	2		
22.8	1350	224	1	+	300	DII	ĸ	10 <sup>0</sup> 58'	44 <sup>0</sup> 49	10	2	6 -	2	
22.8	1645	225	1	+	233	DII	ĸ	11 <sup>0</sup> 00'	44 <sup>°</sup> 57'	17	4	13		
22.8	2250	226	0.55	+	30	NI	ĸ	11°26'	45 <sup>0</sup> 26'	20	12	15	. 9	-
23.8	0316	227	0.75	+	206	N II	ĸ	11 <sup>0</sup> 07'	43 <sup>0</sup> 04'	20	2	2	22	
23.8	1358	228	1.3	+	186	D II	ĸ	10 <sup>°</sup> 40'	45 <sup>°</sup> 00 '	20			15	
23.8	1812	229	0.5	40	32		в	10 <sup>0</sup> 28'	44 <sup>0</sup> 59'	100		158	42	-
24.8	0810	231	0.75	+	37	NI	ĸ	11004'	44 <sup>0</sup> 27 '	34	. 9	12	23	
24.8	0925	232	l	+	509		в	11 <sup>0</sup> 28'	43 <sup>0</sup> 59'	400		367	33	
24.8	1509	233	0.75	426	345	DII	ĸ	11°44 '	43 <sup>0</sup> 48*	15	. 3	6	'11	
24.8	2155	234	0.55	+	0	NI	к	11 <sup>0</sup> 53'	43 <sup>0</sup> 35 '	20	9	18	10	
25.8	0117	235	1	+	60	NI	к	12 <sup>0</sup> 07'	43 <sup>0</sup> 53'	25	6	5	14	4
25.8	0746	236	0.5	465	300	DII	к	12°15'	44 <sup>0</sup> 07'	15	6	15	9	
25.8	1 3 2 5	237	0.5	152	144		в	12 <sup>0</sup> 04 '	43 <sup>0</sup> 31.'	130		260		
25.8	1715	238	0.5	+	309	DIÏ	к	11 <sup>0</sup> 47'	43 <sup>0</sup> 29'	45	72	10	8	
26.8	0700	240	1	+	310	DII	ĸ	11°47'	44 <sup>0</sup> 02 '	14	1	11	2	
26.8	1012	241	0.75	+	130		H	11°47'	44 <sup>°</sup> 20'	3			4	•
26.8	1301	242	1	+	31.5	DIL		11 <sup>0</sup> 47'	44 <sup>0</sup> 30 '	25	4	10	11	•
26.8	1521	243	l	+	357	DII	к	11°41′	44 <sup>0</sup> 29'	17	2	10	6	
27.8	0228	244	0.67	÷	340	N II	к	10°46'	44 <sup>0</sup> 24 '	60	6	18	66	_
27.8	231.9	245	0.5	÷	. 30	NI	к	10 <sup>0</sup> 36'	44 <sup>°</sup> 58′	25	21	7	21.	
28.8	1308	246	1	+`	345	DII	к	12 <sup>0</sup> 38'	45 <sup>0</sup> 53	20	4	7	10	-
28.8	1726	247	0.5	+	164	D II	к	13 <sup>0</sup> 06'	46 <sup>0</sup> 00'	20			40	
28.8	2025	248	0.5	+	28	NI	ĸ	13 <sup>0</sup> 15'	46 <sup>0</sup> 04 '	17	18	ΪŬ	6	

Table 2. Trawl stations in the Gulf of Aden August 1979. Bottom depth is marked + when more than 500 m. Trawl type: K: Krilltrawl H: Harstadtrawl

.

- 66 -

-

		130																2									
. 0		0 Shrimps	5 kg/h	0	5	2		0			0	0	0			0		5	. 0			0	0	5		2	
11		4	8	19	14	11		2			16	2	15			ñ		13	4			95	ē	10		80	
10		<u>1</u> 5	10	•	<b>T</b> 5	20						12	 						20	1							
120	1110	40	85	160	40	06	2680	1270	6600	4040	640	42	1050	7060	2210	360	8700	315	40	680	880	5.00	140	170	1600	325	4050
123	. 555	114	93	440	100	114	1340	646	3300	1950	400	41	600	3530	2208	114	2900	228	57	680	440	720	120	140	2000	220	2500
57 <sup>0</sup> 341	57 <sup>0</sup> 58'	57 <sup>0</sup> 51'	57 <sup>0</sup> 48'	58 <sup>0</sup> 151	57 <sup>0</sup> 55'	57 <sup>0</sup> 31'	57 <sup>0</sup> 15'	57 <sup>0</sup> 14'	57 <sup>0</sup> 081	57 <sup>0</sup> 081	57 <sup>0</sup> 06'		56 <sup>0</sup> 57'	57 <sup>0</sup> 071	57 <sup>0</sup> 081	57 <sup>0</sup> 11'	57 <sup>0</sup> 11'	57 <sup>0</sup> 291	57 <sup>0</sup> 091	57 <sup>0</sup> 02'	57 <sup>0</sup> 03'	56 <sup>0</sup> 591	57 <sup>0</sup> 08'	57 <sup>0</sup> 05'	56 <sup>0</sup> 57'	57 <sup>0</sup> 13'	57 <sup>0</sup> 12
24 <sup>0</sup> 481	24 <sup>0</sup> 46"	24 <sup>0</sup> 33'	.23 <sup>0</sup> 59"	23 <sup>0</sup> 54'	23 <sup>0</sup> 551	24 <sup>0</sup> 12'	24 <sup>0</sup> 261	24 <sup>0</sup> 261	24 <sup>0</sup> 16'	24 <sup>0</sup> 17'	24 <sup>0</sup> 241		24 <sup>0</sup> 331	24 <sup>0</sup> 20'	24 <sup>0</sup> 16'	24 <sup>0</sup> 15'	24 <sup>0</sup> 171	24 <sup>0</sup> 16	24 <sup>0</sup> 16'	24 <sup>0</sup> 281	24 <sup>0</sup> 22'	24 <sup>0</sup> 30'	24 <sup>0</sup> 17'	24 <sup>0</sup> 291	24 <sup>0</sup> 291	24 <sup>0</sup> 18'	24 <sup>0</sup> 17'
I N	D I	DII	D II	D I	II N	I N	D I	DI	D I	ΙQ	IN	II N	D I	D I	D I	D II	D I	N I	II N	DI	D I	I N	I N	II N	DI	D II	DI
30	140	330	260	130	260	40	110	140	<b>1</b> 30	95	m	200	100	140	140	210	80	80	250	70	150	40	50	200	50	250	130
+	+	÷	334	161	325	÷	+	+	327	328	I	270	295	317	323	334	331	+	326	306	322	+	326	316	278	343	333
0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	<b>1.</b> 3	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1	0.38	0.3	0.5	0.5	Г	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.3	0.5	0.6
26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53
00.46	08.18	11.05	16.25	15.49	22.10	02.32	00.00	11.20	15.42	17.15	19.35	04.14	06.35	09.05	14.36	16.40	18.10	22.50	03.10	05.55	12.04	18.45	01.38	04.12	09.30	15.36	16.57
30.1.	30.1.	30.1.	30.1.	01.2.	01.2.	02.2.	02.2.	02.2.	02.2.	02.2.	02.2.	03.2.	03.2.	03.2.	.03.2.	03.2.	03.2.	03.2.	04.2.	04.2.	04.2.	04.2.	05.2.	05.2.	05.2.	05.2.	05.2.

2

- 67 -

3	130	520	240	60 Shrimps 80	kg/h	210	110		Salps only		60 Shrimps 135	50 kg/h		350	700		340	20	1275	75	2000	200	170	25	70 Shrimps 16	lo kg/h Shrimps/ Squids 25 kg/h
				30	•	20	ю.				20.	10		25			• •		·	185		15	ъ	<b>Ω</b>	42	30
	510	2080	20	30	4090	430	240	3600		2720	105	25	2500	105	700	1325	700	<b>180</b>	5225	11	÷	65	1265	6040	m	2
	320	2600	180	100	4090	1330	720	3600		1360	160	45	2500	480	700	640	520	100	5100	140	2000	300	1080	6100	об	40
	57 <sup>0</sup> 01،	57 <sup>0</sup> 09"	57°12"	57°13'	57 <sup>0</sup> 18،	57 <sup>0</sup> 281	57 <sup>0</sup> 09	57 <sup>0</sup> 04'	56 <sup>0</sup> 59°	57 <sup>0</sup> 00"	57°15'	57°15'	57 <sup>0</sup> 13"	57 <sup>0</sup> 091	56 <sup>0</sup> 47"	57 <sup>0</sup> 13'	57 <sup>0</sup> 04"	58 <sup>0</sup> 35	58 <sup>0</sup> 21'	58 <sup>0</sup> 23"	58 <sup>0</sup> 28"	58 <sup>0</sup> 57'	59 <sup>0</sup> 041	59 <sup>0</sup> 131	58 <sup>0</sup> 30'	58048
	24 <sup>0</sup> 22"	24 <sup>0</sup> 44	24 <sup>0</sup> 481	24 <sup>0</sup> 46'	24 <sup>0</sup> 09 <sup>1</sup>	24 <sup>0</sup> 08"	24 <sup>0</sup> 191	24 <sup>0</sup> 23	24 <sup>0</sup> 23	24 <sup>0</sup> 27"	24 <sup>0</sup> 281	24 <sup>0</sup> 28"	24 <sup>0</sup> 31'	24 <sup>0</sup> 31'	24 <sup>0</sup> 47"	24 <sup>0</sup> 53'	24 <sup>0</sup> 34'	24 <sup>0</sup> 26'	23 <sup>0</sup> 54"	23 <sup>0</sup> 591	23 <sup>0</sup> 591	24 <sup>0</sup> 24	24 <sup>0</sup> 08'	24 <sup>0</sup> 031	23 <sup>0</sup> 551	23 <sup>0</sup> 461
	II N	N I	D II	D II	D I	T N	I N	DI	×	П	D II	D II	D I	N I	D I	DI	IN	DI	I Q	N I	I N	ΙN	D I	DI	II N	N
	215	30	240	300	150	50	50	30	10	150	300	250	85	60		160	35	140	170	50	0	40	70	165	260	80
	271	÷	<b>+</b>	+	250	355	323	305	278	280	афт	+	÷	<b>+</b>	245	+	355	+	290	+	÷	+	+	.+	+	+
	0.5	-1	0.5	0.5	1	7	7	Г	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	Ч	Г	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.5	0.5	r-1	0.7	Г	0.5	0.5
	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	62	80
	20.05	05.58	09.15	11.00	17.10	22.35	02.15	05.50	09.40	11.00	14.00	15.51	17.23	23.50	06.35	16.21	19.40	09.35	16.11	20.45	22.07	04.10	06.05	06.30	20.35	0T°39
	05.2.	06.2.	06.2.	06.2.	06.2.	06.2.	07.2.	07.2.	08.2.	08.2.	08.2.	08.2.	08.2.	08.2.	09.2.	09.2.	09.2.	11.2.	11.2.	11.2.	11.2.	12.2.	12.2.	12.2.	12.2.	ТЗ, 2

--- 68 --
		Tabi	Le 4. I B	rrawl sta Nottom de	ations i epth is	Ln the Gulf marked + wl	of Aden, Febru hen more than 5	ary 1981 00 m.	•		4
Date	Time	st.	Time	Bottom	Trawl	Scattering	Position	Total	Catc	h pr. hr.	Notes
	start	.ou	trawled	l depth	depth	layer		catch	Myctophida	e Other Oth	lers
						type	N	kg.		fish	
Gulf	of Aden										
17.2.	20.15	81	0.5	+	0	N I	12 <sup>0</sup> 05' 50 <sup>0</sup> 38'	10	4	<b>-</b>	15 Mostly swim-
18.2.	02.03	82	0.5	+	50	I N	11 <sup>0</sup> 58' 49 <sup>0</sup> 59'	40	9	r-i	73 ming crabs Salps 58
18.2.	08.40	83	0.5	+	350	D II	11 <sup>0</sup> 40' 49 <sup>0</sup> 26'	19	11	23	kg/h 4Chauliodus 20
18.2.	16.13	84	0.5	÷	300	D II	12 <sup>0</sup> 23' 48 <sup>0</sup> 43'	14	ഹ	L	14 kg/h
18.2.	19.40	85	0.5	+	75	NI	12 <sup>0</sup> 18' 48 <sup>0</sup> 28'	30	13	17	30 Sw.crab 18
19.2.	02.30	86	0.5	+	50	I N	11 <sup>0</sup> 27' 48 <sup>0</sup> 03'	15	7	13	ky/n 15 Cubiceps 9 1-2/L
19.2.	11.10	87	0.5	+	340	D II	11 <sup>0</sup> 53' 47 <sup>0</sup> 18'	20	17	6	kg/n 14 Salps 11 12 L
19.2.	20.05	88	0.5	+	360	D II	11 <sup>0</sup> 26' 46 <sup>0</sup> 28'	30	12	11	27 Jellyfish
20.2.	16.44	89	0.6	+	200	D I	12 <sup>0</sup> 09' 45 <sup>0</sup> 18'	45	63	2	24 Kg/n 15 Salps 12
22.2.	17.03	90	Ч	+	160	DI	12 <sup>0</sup> 40' 45 <sup>0</sup> 15'	280	265		15 kg/h
22.2.	21.50	16	0.5	+	55	N I	12 <sup>0</sup> 47' 45 <sup>0</sup> 34'	30	37	Ч	22 Euphausids
23.2.	03.50	92	0.5	+	50	N I	13 <sup>0</sup> 17' 45 <sup>0</sup> 57'	£	2		8 Sw.crab 7
23.2.	13.00	93	0.5	+	320	D II	12 <sup>0</sup> 25' 44 <sup>0</sup> 53'	S	7	ß	4 kg/h
23.2.	20.30	94	0.5	+	40	IN	12 <sup>0</sup> 01' 43 <sup>0</sup> 55'	40	72		8
24.2.	00.41	95	0.5	476	40	NI	11 <sup>0</sup> 47' 43 <sup>0</sup> 50'	50	72	14	14
24.2.	06.55	96	6.0	+	50-175	I	11 <sup>0</sup> 02' 44 <sup>0</sup> 03'	Ŋ	ო	<b>r=1</b>	1
24.2.	14.11	97		+	200	DI	10 <sup>0</sup> 37' 44 <sup>0</sup> 44'	20	20	۰.	0
24.2.	17.48	98	0.5	+	ı	D II	10 <sup>0</sup> 46' 44 <sup>0</sup> 56'	20	16	£	14 Shrimps/ krill 10
25.2.	07.35	66	0.5	+	325	D II	11 <sup>0</sup> 15' 45 <sup>0</sup> 05'	9	Ф	ŝ	4 kg/h

- 69 -

7	imp/	ll 32 h crabs 9	£							
	4 38 Shr:	kri 11 kg/l Sw.(	kg/i 2	ъ		~				
	n N	4		2						
and a second	85 20	ß	9	73				-		
	45 30	10	ষ	40						
	44 <sup>0</sup> 28" 44 <sup>0</sup> 23"	44 <sup>0</sup> 351	43 <sup>0</sup> 45 °	43 <sup>0</sup> 421						
anna an an ann an ann an ann an ann an a	11018° 11043	11 <sup>0</sup> 57"	12 <sup>0</sup> 00'	11 <sup>0</sup> 581						
a po Min no forma antino de la compositiva de Minero d	D II N I	I N	D I	D II						
	250 50	50	225	375						
n ann an Anna a	÷ +	+	-	+			x			
n - An Franklik Annual - Annual Annual - Annual	0.5 0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5						
	12.42 100 22.05 101	03.50 102	09.45 IO3	12.10 104						
	25.2.	26.2. (	26.2. (	26.2.						

.

